Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wentao Li, Huazhong Agricultural University, China

REVIEWED BY

Fernando Costa Ferreira, University of Lisbon, Portugal Tereza Cristina Cardoso, Universidade Estadual de São Paulo, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Jeong Hee Kim jhkimh@khu.ac.kr

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Veterinary Experimental and Diagnostic Pathology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

RECEIVED 06 September 2022 ACCEPTED 04 October 2022 PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

CITATION

Hwang HJ, Choi YS, Song K, Frant M and Kim JH (2023) Development and validation of a fast quantitative real-time PCR assay for the detection of African swine fever virus. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:1037728. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.1037728

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Hwang, Choi, Song, Frant and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Development and validation of a fast quantitative real-time PCR assay for the detection of African swine fever virus

Hyun Jin Hwang¹, Yun Seong Choi¹, Kyungyoung Song¹, Maciej Frant² and Jeong Hee Kim ^{3,4*}

¹R&D Center, Ahram Biosystems Inc., Seoul, South Korea, ²Department of Swine Diseases, National Veterinary Research Institute, Puławy, Poland, ³Department of Oral Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, South Korea, ⁴Department of KHU-KIST Converging Science and Technology, Graduate School, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, South Korea

African swine fever virus (ASFV) is a double-stranded DNA virus that causes African swine fever (ASF), a lethal hemorrhagic fever that is highly contagious among domestic pigs and wild boars. Due to the high mortality rates and highly contagious nature of the ASF, it is important to develop a fast detection method for ASFV with high sensitivity and specificity to take an immediate action to stop wide spread of the virulent disease. Therefore, a fast and quantitative molecular detection method of ASFV is presented in this study. A total of 24 genotypes of ASFV have been identified based on nucleic acid sequences of the major capsid protein p72. The primers and probe of the present assay was designed to detect all of the p72-based genotypes of ASFV. The turnaround time for PCR detection was within 50 min which is at least about two-times faster compared to other PCR assays. Limit of detection (LoD) was 6.91 genomic copies/reaction for the most virulent genotype II. LoD values for other genotypes were within 10-20 copies/reaction. Cross-reactivity of the assay was validated using a panel of pathogens related to swine disease, and no cross-reactivity was observed. Positive and negative clinical samples (50 samples each) obtained from sick and healthy animals, were used to validate the assay. The results showed that 100% agreement for both positive and negative samples. In summary, the assay described in this study offers the advantage of rapid detection of all genotypes of ASFV with high sensitivity and specificity. The assay is a valuable tool both in clinical and laboratory uses for sensitive and fast detection of ASFV.

KEYWORDS

African swine fever virus, real-time PCR, fast, quantitative, diagnostics

Introduction

African swine fever (ASF) is a highly contagious viral disease caused by ASF virus (ASFV), an enveloped virus with a large, double-stranded DNA which belongs to the *Asfarviridae* family (1, 2). The clinical symptoms include high fever, anorexia, vomiting, diarrhea, and hemorrhage. These clinical symptoms and post-mortem findings are difficult to distinguish from those of classical swine fever (CSF).

ASFV is highly contagious and causes high mortality rates: close to 100% in domestic pigs and approximately 95% in wild bores. Therefore, it is under strict surveillance under Office International des Épizooties (OIE, World Organization of Animal Health). ASF is a serious threat for pork production industry and causes a significant economic impact globally (2–4).

Total of 24 distinct genotypes of ASFV have been identified based on sequences of the gene encoding the major capsid protein p72. Eight serotypes are also known based on viral hemagglutinin CD2-like protein (CD2v) and C-type lectin (2, 5, 6). Among these, genotype II stain is highly virulent and is prevalent in Europe, Russia, China, and Southeast Asia (7–12).

Currently, no vaccine or treatment is available for ASF. Therefore, development of fast and reliable molecular diagnostics method is critical to timely apply the control measures and to prevent wide spread among domestic and wild pigs. In addition, since there are similarities in clinical symptoms between ASF and other swine diseases, it is important to have rapid and specific diagnosis for timely implementation of follow-up measures.

The traditional methods for diagnosis of viral diseases were generally based on virus isolation. However, this process is laborintensive and time-consuming (13, 14). And not all viruses can be isolated from the samples. Conventional Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based technology have been used for the detection of infectious diseases including ASF. PCR technology can be applicable for samples that are not suitable for virus isolation. However, detection of ASFV with conventional PCR involves extra post-PCR steps such as electrophoresis, and the sensitivity was generally much lower than the real-time PCR method (15–17).

An alternative technology for ASFV detection is loopmediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) (18, 19). Recently, application of CRSPR-Cas12a coupled with LAMP was shown to give an enhanced fluorescence assay sensitivity (20, 21). Recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) combined with a lateral flow strip for ASF detection was also introduced (22, 23). However, the drawback of these methods is that they require a larger number of primers with more complicated primer design. Moreover, LAMP and RPA showed lower sensitivity compared to PCR assays (24). Real-time PCR technology has been most widely used for the detection of infectious diseases including ASFV because of its high sensitivity and specificity (14, 25– 29). However, the reported studies of real-time PCR detection of ASFV involved relatively long reaction times, approximately from 1 and half hours to 2 h (14, 25, 29).

In this study, we developed and validated a fast and quantitative real-time PCR test that can detect all currently known 24 genotypes of ASFV utilizing a new chemistry including a fast Taq polymerase. ASFV detection can be completed within 50 min without losing its sensitivity and specificity.

TABLE 1 Classification of 24 genotypes of the genes for ASFV p72 protein.

Group Representative name p72 genotype		p72 genotypes			
GT2	II	I, II, XVII, XVIII			
GT3	III	III, IV, V, VI, XIX, XX, XXI, XXII, XXIV			
GT7	VII	VII			
GT8	VIII	VIII, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, XVI			
GT9	IX	IX			
GT10	Х	х			
GT23	XXIII	XXIII			

Materials and methods

Synthetic double stranded DNA template

Synthetic double-stranded DNAs for different genotypes of ASFV p72 gene were used for analytical performance tests of the assay. Twenty-four genotypes of ASFV p72 gene were classified into seven genotype groups based on nucleic acid sequences of the p72 target region (Table 1). The seven genotype groups were designated as GT2, GT3, GT7, GT8, GT9, GT10 and GT23, referring to the representative genotype of each group. A double-stranded DNA of the p72 conservative region with a size of about 400 bp (gBlocks, IDT, Singapore) was synthesized for each genotype group and used as a standard sample. The synthesized DNAs were dissolved in nuclease-free water (Molecular Biology grade, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) to the concentration of 1×10^9 copies/µL, aliquoted and stored at -70° C.

Primer and probe design

The sequences of the seven known groups of ASFV within the conserved region of ASFV major capsid protein p72 were searched. Primers and TaqMan probe were designed to target the conserved region and also to match all 7 genotype groups of ASFV listed in Table 1. The sequences of forward and reverse primers and probes (from LGC Biosearch Technologies, USA) are listed in Table 2. A 1:1 mixture of two forward primers, ASFV-F1 and ASFV-F2, were used for PCR. For internal PCR control (IPC), primers and probe were designed to target human tubulin alpha 1a gene (NCBI Reference Sequence: NG_008966.1).

DNA isolation and optimization of real-time PCR assay

For optimization of the PCR conditions, GT2 synthetic DNA was used as a template. To mimic clinical samples, 30

Target	Name	Sequence (5' to 3')	Tm (°C)	GC (%)	Amplicon size (bp)
ASFV	ASFV-F1	ACGTAATCCGTGTCCCAACTAA	55.9	45.5	217
	ASFV-F2	ACATAATCTGTGTCCCAGCTAA	53.5	40.9	
	ASFV-R	CTGCTCATGGTATCAATCTTATCGA	54.4	40.0	
	ASFV-Probe-	CTGGGTTGGTATTCCTCCCGTGGCT	64.4	60.0	
	FAM				
Internal PCR Control (IPC)*	IPC-F	CCAGGTTTCCACAGCTGTAGT	57.0	52.5	219
	IPC-R	GGGCTCCATCAAATCTCAGG	55.5	55.0	
	IPC-Probe-	AGCCCTACAACTCCATCCTCACC	60.5	56.5	
	HEX				

TABLE 2 Sequence of primers and probes used in this study.

*Tubulin alpha a1 gene.

ng of swine genomic DNA was included in each of the PCR mixture as a background genomic DNA. Swine genomic DNA was purified from pork meat using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany), according to the protocol provided by the supplier. Concentrations of primers, probe and MgCl₂ were optimized to achieve maximum amplification efficiency and minimal threshold cycle (Ct). The reaction mixture contained 5 μ L of DNA extracts, 5.0 mM of MgCl₂, 1.6 units of *Taq* DNA polymerase and 8 × 10⁴ copies of a plasmid DNA encoding the IPC target in 50 mM TE, pH8.5 buffer (10 mM Tris and 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.5). Concentrations of the primers and probes were as follows: 500 nM of ASFV-F1, 500 nM of ASFV-F2, 500 nM of ASFV-R and 200 nM of ASFV-probe-FAM for ASFV, and 150 nM of IPC-F, 150 nM of IPC-R and 180 nM of IPC-Probe-HEX for IPC.

PCR amplification and real-time detection was performed on Bio-Rad CFX96 real-time PCR Detection System (Biorad, USA). The PCR protocol consists of a hot-start of 95° C for 2 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95° C for 5 s and 56°C for 10 s. Upon completion, amplification graphs and Ct values were recorded. All experiments were performed at least in triplicate.

Analytical sensitivity

To determine the limit of detection (LoD), serial dilutions the standard template, ASFV DNA GT2 were prepared in TE, pH 9.0 buffer for 5 different concentrations (20, 2, 1, 0.6 and 0.2 copies/ μ L). For each template concentration, PCR assay was performed in 96-replicates and the positive hit rate with Ct \leq 40 was determined. The serially diluted standard samples were assayed in triplicate to determine the LoD of the assay by adding 5 μ L of each diluted standard sample to the PCR mixture. The resulting concentrations per each PCR mixture were 100, 10, 5, 3 and 1 copies/reaction. In the PCR mixture of 20 μ L, 2 μ L of porcine genomic DNA (15 ng/ μ L) was also added to mimic the clinical specimens. The PCR conditions used were described above. The results were analyzed using PROBIT regression analysis.

We also evaluated analytical sensitivity of the assay using serial dilutions of a known viral titer. Viral titer was defined as the amount of virus causing hemadsorption in 50% of infected cultures (HAD₅₀/mL). The titer used for serial dilution was $10^{5.36}$ HAD₅₀/mL.

Cross-reactivity test

Viruses and bacterium used for cross-reactivity test are: Classical swine fever virus (CSFV), *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*, Aujeszky's disease virus (ADV), *Actinobacillus Pleuropneumonia*, *Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Pasteurella multocida*. DNAs were isolated using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the protocol provided by the supplier. Isolated DNA samples were tested to confirm the cross-reactivity of the fast ASFV PCR assay.

Clinical performance test

Panels of ASFV positive and negative clinical samples of blood and tissues from domestic pigs and wild bores were used for the clinical performance test. The clinical samples consist of fifty positive samples of varying virulence and fifty negative samples that were collected from domestic pigs and wild boars during the year of 2018 to 2019 by National Veterinary Research Institute in Puławy, Poland. The positive samples consisted of 9 blood samples and 41 tissue samples, and the negative samples consisted of 14 blood samples and 36 tissue samples. The tissue samples were from various organs consisting of tonsil, spleen, kidney, lymph node and bone marrow. DNAs from the clinical samples were extracted using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the protocol provided by the supplier. Isolated DNA samples were tested to check the clinical performance of the fast ASFV PCR assay.

Results

Selection of primers, probes, and optimized parameters for detection of ASFV

The primers and probe for detection of ASFV were designed based on the nucleic acid sequences of all genotypes of the ASFV p72 gene. The gene sequences were acquired from GenBank data base. Several candidate sequences were tried for the primers and probe, and the best results were obtained with ASFV-F1 and ASFV-R1 primers for the genotype group GT2 (data not shown). To cover all 7 groups of ASFV genotypes (24 genotypes), a modified forward primer, ASFV-F2 was included. The sequences of the primers and probe used in this study are listed in Table 2. Equal amounts of ASFV-F1 and ASFV-F2 primers were mixed and used as forward primers. Human tubulin alpha a1 sequence, that is also present in the swine genome, was used as an internal PCR control (IPC), and the primer and probe sequences for detection of IPC are also listed in Table 2.

Annealing temperature and concentrations of primers and probes were optimized for real-time PCR. Optimum annealing temperature was 56° C, and optimum concentrations of primers and probe were 500 nM and 200 nM, respectively, for ASFV and 150 nM and 180 nM, respectively, for IPC. The optimum PCR protocol was as follows: one cycle at 95° C for 2 min, 45 cycles at 95° C for 5 s, then at 56° C for 10 s. Total PCR running time was about 50 min on the Bio-Rad CFX96.

Limit of detection

The limit of detection (LoD) of the optimized assay was determined by testing serial dilutions of the standard sample template, ASFV DNA GT2. Ct values and hit rates were measured for 6 different template concentrations (100, 10, 5, 3, 1 and 0 copies/reaction), and the results are listed in Table 3. The test results were analyzed using the PROBIT regression method as shown in Figure 1. The LoD was determined to be 6.91 copies/reaction (5.40 \sim 9.85 copies/reaction at 95% confidence interval).

The LoD values of the DNA standards for other 6 genotype groups (GT3, GT7, GT8, GT9, GT10 and GT 23) were tested in comparison with the standard sample template, ASFV DNA GT2, and the results are listed in Table 4. GT3, GT8, GT10 and GT23 which include 18 genotypes showed hit rates of > 95% at the concentration of 10 copies/reaction. These values are similar to the value determined for the ASFV DNA GT2 which include 4 genotypes I, TABLE 3 Threshold cycle (Ct) values and hit rates of serially diluted samples.

Concentration (copies/reaction)	Number of samples	Ct		Hit rate (%)	
		Average	SD		
100	96	32.45	1.04	100	
10	96	36.34	1.70	97.9	
5	96	38.06	2.68	92.7	
3	96	38.73	3.22	77.1	
1	96	37.69	3.54	44.8	
0	48	ND	-	0	



II, XVII and XVIII). ASFV DNA GT7 and GT9 which include 2 genotypes (genotypes VII and IX) showed a hit rate of 89.6% for 10 copies/reaction, and 95.8 and 100%, respectively, for 20 copies/reaction. The data suggested that LoD of other genotypes is $10\sim20$ copies/reaction or better at \geq 95% hit rate.

Analytical sensitivity of the assay was also determined by testing serial dilutions of a known viral titer ($10^{5.36}$ HAD₅₀/mL). The last detectable dilution was 10^4 as shown in Table 5, corresponding to the analytical sensitivity of $10^{1.36}$ HAD₅₀/mL.

Standard curve

The analytical sensitivity was further studied by testing triplicate of 9-fold serial dilutions of the standard sample template, ASFV DNA GT2. The experiments were performed in the presence of 30 ng of porcine genomic DNA to mimic the clinical test condition. A standard curve was generated by plotting the mean Ct value of the three replicates against the template concentration $(10-10^9 \text{ copies/reaction})$.

ASFV group	Concentration (copies/reaction)	Number of samples	Positive	Ct		Hit rate (%)
				Mean	SD	
GT2	10	72	72	35.02	1.28	100.0
GT3	10	48	47	37.86	1.88	97.9
GT7	20	48	46	37.78	2.51	95.8
	10	48	43	38.73	2.85	89.6
GT8	10	48	48	37.67	1.53	100
GT9	20	48	48	35.19	1.43	100
	10	48	43	38.13	4.07	89.6
GT10	10	48	48	36.77	2.05	100
GT23	10	48	48	36.92	1.66	100

TABLE 4 Threshold cycle (Ct) values and hit rates of 7 standard genotype groups.

TABLE 5 Analytical sensitivity measured with a known viral titer.

(IPC) Results
26.11 Positive
24.80 Positive
26.15 Positive
25.91 Positive
26.20 Positive
26.01 Negative
(26 24 26 25 26 26



As shown in Figure 2, a linear regression analysis represents a high coefficient of determination (0.996), demonstrating a linear dynamic range across the 9 orders of magnitude tested, ranging from 10^9 to the 10 copies/reaction. This standard curve result confirms that the fast ASFV PCR TABLE 6 Cross- reactivity of the ASFV assay kit.

No.	Sample	Ct	
		ASFV	IPC*
1	Classical swine fever virus (CSFV)	No Ct	23.98
2	Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae	No Ct	25.38
3	Aujeszky's disease virus (ADV)	No Ct	24.66
4	Actinobacillus Pleuropneumonia	No Ct	24.62
5	Salmonella Typhimurium	No Ct	27.83
6	Pasteurella multocida	No Ct	24.82

*IPC, internal PCR control.

assay developed in this study can be used for quantitative determination of the template concentration. The analytical sensitivity estimated from the standard curve (down to 10 copies/reaction) is also in agreement with the result of the LoD study described above.

Cross-reactivity of the assay

The cross-reactivity was tested for Classical swine fever virus (CSFV), *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*, Aujeszky's disease

virus (ADV), *Actinobacillus Pleuropneumonia*, *Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Pasteurella multocida*. DNAs were extracted from each microorganism and tested for cross-reactivity. As shown in Table 6, none of the pathogens showed positive results with the assay developed, while positive Ct values were observed for all of the pathogens, confirming no cross-reactivity for the pathogens tested.

Clinical performance test

Clinical performance of the assay was tested with ASFV clinical samples which consist of 50 positive and 50 negative clinical samples of blood and tissues collected from domestic pigs and wild bores during the year of 2018 to 2019. The samples were previously tested and confirmed by National Veterinary Research Institute in Pulawy, Poland. For the test, DNAs were extracted from the clinical samples and used for the PCR assay.

The results of the clinical performance test are listed in Table 7. The results of the fast ASFV PCR assay of this study show 100% agreement with the original diagnosis results: All 50 positive samples were detected to be positive and all 50 negative samples were detected to be negative. Therefore, it is confirmed that both sensitivity and specificity are 100%.

Agreement with the standard real-time PCR method [Fernández-Pinero method using UPL-162 probe (26)]

TABLE 7 Clinical sensitivity and specificity.

recommended by European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) in Valdeolmos, Spain and by the International Animal Health Organization (OIE), was also tested. As shown in Table 8, the results of the fast ASFV PCR assay of this study are in 100% agreement for both positive and negative samples with those of the Fernández-Pinero method.

Discussion

The average time of ASF symptom onset after exposure to ASFV was about 5 to 13 days and death of the infected pigs began to occur at 8–15 days after exposure (11, 22). The time period from the onset of the disease to death of the animal is relatively short and the morbidity and mortality rate is almost 100% (2–4). Moreover, due to the recent increase in the international trade, animal transport and human travel, the risk of transboundary spreading of infectious diseases became significantly higher. Therefore, fast and timely diagnosis and treatment of suspected pigs became more important. In other aspect, infections with different viruses cause similar clinical signs in swine which makes it difficult to diagnosis (17, 30, 31). Therefore, highly specific identification of syndromic pathogens is also required.

Currently, PCR is the most widely used diagnostic techniques for detecting ASF because of its high sensitivity and specificity. Both conventional and real-time PCR assays have

			Results of original diagnosis		Total
			Positive	Negative	
Fast ASFV PCR assay of this study	Positive	Blood	9	0	50
		Tissue	41	0	
	Negative	Blood	0	14	50
		Tissue	0	36	
	Total		50	50	100

Clinical sensitivity: 100% (92.9%–100% at 95% confidence interval).

Clinical specificity: 100% (92.9%-100% at 95% confidence interval).

TABLE 8 Agreement with Fernández-Pinero method (UPL-162 probe).

			Fernadez-Pinero method (UPL-162 probe)		Total
			Positive	Negative	
Fast ASFV PCR assay of this study	Positive	Blood	9	0	50
		Tissue	41	0	
	Negative	Blood	0	14	50
		Tissue	0	36	
Total			50	50	100

Positive Percent Agreement (PPA): 100% (92.9-100% at 95% confidence interval).

Negative Percent Agreement (NPA): 100% (92.9-100% at 95% confidence interval).

Overall Percent Agreement (OPA): 100% (96.3-100% at 95% confidence interval).

been recommended for diagnosis of ASF by OIE. Although these two PCR methods have been used widely, they are either not fast enough or not sufficiently sensitive. In the present study, a highly sensitive, time-saving quantitative real-time PCR technique was developed. The LoD of the assay was 6.91 copies/reaction which is much lower than those of the previously reported values ranging from several 100 and several tens of copies/ reaction (14, 27, 28). The LoD measured with known viral titer was $10^{1.36}$ HAD₅₀/mL that is at least two-times lower than other PCR methods. One study showed a similar LoD of 6 copies/reaction which is comparable with the data presented in this study (29). The LoD value achieved with the assay developed was much lower than those of the conventional PCR Assay (15–17), LAMP assay (18, 19), and RPA assay (22, 23).

When designing primers and probes for molecular diagnostic assays, it is important to select highly conserved regions of viral genome to ensure the assay can detect all known variants or genotypes of the virus. We designed the primers to detect all 24 genotypes of ASFV that are currently identified, and confirmed that all 24 genotypes can be detected with sufficient analytical sensitivity. No cross-reactivity was observed with other swine pathogens and the pathogens of related diseases. It was also demonstrated that the linear dynamic range of this assay is 9-log orders of concentration, sufficient for quantitative determination of ASFV DNA.

The clinical performance was evaluated with 50 positive and 50 negative clinical samples of blood and tissues collected from domestic pigs and wild bores. The test results obtained with clinical samples revealed that the assay has 100% sensitivity and 100% specificity, and also 100% PPA and 100% NPA when compared with the standard Fernández-Pinero method. The whole PCR process to results can be completed in about 50 min which is at least about two-times shorter than the 90~120 min running time of other real-time PCR assays (14, 25, 28, 29).

In summary, a real-time PCR assay has been developed that is faster, more sensitive than currently available PCR methods and can detect all 24 genotypes of ASF. The results of the analytical and clinical performance tests revealed that the assay is much faster and more sensitive than other PCR methods and represents high specificity with no cross-reactivity. The assay developed in this study can be a useful molecular diagnostic tool for the prompt control and prevention of ASF.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary

materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by National Veterinary Research Institute, Al. Partyzantów 57, 24-100 Puławy, Poland.

Author contributions

HJH contributed to the idea conception. YSC and KS performed the analytical data collection and analysis. MF performed the clinical data collection and analysis. JHK and HJH contributed to design of the study and analysis of the data. JHK supervised the experiments. HJH and JHK prepared the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by Korea Evaluation Institute of Industrial Technology and funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy, Korea (Grant 10080151).

Conflict of interest

Authors HJH, YSC, and KS were employed by Ahram Biosystems, Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Cackett G, Matelska D, Sýkora M, Portugal R, Malecki M, Bähler J, et al. The African swine fever virus transcriptome. *J Virol.* (2020) 94:e00119–20. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00119-20

2. Gaudreault NN, Madden DW, Wilson WC, Trujillo JD, Richt JA. African swine fever virus: an emerging DNA arbovirus. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:215. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00215

3. Galindo I, Alonso C. African swine fever virus: a review. Viruses. (2017) 9:103. doi: 10.3390/v9050103

4. Urbano AC, Ferreira F. African swine fever control and prevention: an update on vaccine development. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* (2022) 11:2021–33. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2108342

5. Malogolovkin A, Burmakina G, Tulman ER, Delhon G, Diel DG, Salnikov N, et al. African swine fever virus CD2v and C-type lectin gene loci mediate serological specificity. *J General Virol.* (2015) 96:866–73. doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.000024

6. Gaudreault NN, Richt JA. Subunit vaccine approaches for African swine fever virus. *Vaccines*. (2019) 7:56. doi: 10.3390/vaccines7020056

7. Chapman DA, Darby AC, Da Silva M, Upton C, Radford AD, Dixon LK. Genomic analysis of highly virulent Georgia 2007/1 isolate of African swine fever virus. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2011) 17:599. doi: 10.3201/eid1704.101283

8. Gallardo C, Fernández-Pinero J, Pelayo V, Gazaev I, Markowska-Daniel I, Pridotkas G, et al. Genetic variation among African swine fever genotype II viruses, eastern and central Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2014) 20:1544. doi: 10.3201/eid2009.140554

9. Ge S, Li J, Fan X, Liu F, Li L, Wang Q, et al. Molecular characterization of African swine fever virus, China, 2018. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2018) 24:2131. doi: 10.3201/eid2411.181274

10. Garigliany M, Desmecht D, Tignon M, Cassart D, Lesenfant C, Paternostre J, et al. Phylogeographic analysis of African swine fever virus, Western Europe, 2018. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2019) 25:184. doi: 10.3201/eid2501.181535

11. Gallardo C, Soler A, Nurmoja I, Cano-Gómez C, Cvetkova S, Frant M, et al. Dynamics of African swine fever virus (ASFV) infection in domestic pigs infected with virulent, moderate virulent and attenuated genotype II ASFV European isolates. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2021) 68:2826–41. doi: 10.1111/tbed. 14222

12. Denstedt E, Porco A, Hwang J, Nga NTT, Ngoc PTB, Chea S, et al. Detection of African swine fever virus in free-ranging wild boar in Southeast Asia. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2021) 68:2669–75. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13964

13. Oura CAL, Edwards L, Batten CA. Virological diagnosis of African swine fever—comparative study of available tests. *Virus Res.* (2013) 173:150-8. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2012.10.022

14. Luo Y, Atim SA, Shao L, Ayebazibwe C, Sun Y, Liu Y, et al. Development of an updated PCR assay for detection of African swine fever virus. *Arch Virol.* (2017) 162:191–9. doi: 10.1007/s00705-016-3069-3

15. Agüero M, Fernández J, Romero L, Sánchez Mascaraque C, Arias M, Sánchez-Vizcaíno JM. Highly sensitive PCR assay for routine diagnosis of African swine fever virus in clinical samples. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2003) 41:4431-4. doi: 10.1128/JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003

16. Cao S, Chen H, Zhao J, Lü J, Xiao S, Jin M, et al. Detection of porcine circovirus type 2, porcine parvovirus and porcine pseudorabies virus from pigs with postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome by multiplex PCR. *Vet Res Commun.* (2005) 29:263–9. doi: 10.1023/B:VERC.0000047501.78 615.0b

17. Giammarioli M, Pellegrini C, Casciari C, De Mia GM. Development of a novel hot-start multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of classical swine fever

virus, African swine fever virus, porcine circovirus type 2, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus and porcine parvovirus. *Vet Res Comm.* (2008) 32:255–62. doi: 10.1007/s11259-007-9026-6

18. James HE, Ebert K, McGonigle R, Reid SM, Boonham N, Tomlinson JA, et al. Detection of African swine fever virus by loop-mediated isothermal amplification. *J Virol Methods.* (2010) 164:68–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.11.034

19. Wang D, Yu J, Wang Y, Zhang M, Li P, Liu M, et al. Development of a real-time loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay and visual LAMP assay for detection of African swine fever virus (ASFV). *J Virol Methods*. (2020) 276:113775. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2019.113775

20. Tao D, Liu J, Nie X, Xu B, Tran Thi TN, Niu L, et al. Application of CRISPR-Cas12a enhanced fluorescence assay coupled with nucleic acid amplification for the sensitive detection of African swine fever virus. *ACS Synth Biol.* (2020) 9:2339– 50. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.0c00057

21. Wang X, Ji P, Fan H, Dang L, Wan W, Liu S, et al. CRISPR/Cas12a technology combined with immunochromatographic strips for portable detection of African swine fever virus. *Communications Biology.* (2020) 3:1–8. doi: 10.1038/s42003-020-0796-5

22. Miao F, Zhang J, Li N, Chen T, Wang L, Zhang F, et al. Rapid and sensitive recombinase polymerase amplification combined with lateral flow strip for detecting African swine fever virus. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:1004. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01004

23. Fan X, Li L, Zhao Y, Liu Y, Liu C, Wang Q, et al. Clinical validation of two recombinase-based isothermal amplification assays (RPA/RAA) for the rapid detection of African swine fever virus. *Front Microbiol.* (2020) 11:1696. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01696

24. Flannery J, Ashby M, Moore R, Wells S, Rajko-Nenow P, Netherton CL, et al. Identification of novel testing matrices for African swine fever surveillance. *J Vet Diagn Invest.* (2020) 32:961–3. doi: 10.1177/1040638720954888

25. King DP, Reid SM, Hutchings GH, Grierson SS, Wilkinson PJ, Dixon LK, et al. Development of a TaqMan[®] PCR assay with internal amplification control for the detection of African swine fever virus. *J Virol Methods*. (2003) 107:53–61. doi: 10.1016/S0166-0934(02)00189-1

26. Fernández-Pinero J, Gallardo C, Elizalde M, Robles A, Gómez C, Bishop R, et al. Molecular Diagnosis of African Swine Fever by a New Real-Time PCR Using Universal Probe Library. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2013) 60:48–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1865-1682.2012.01317.x

27. Haines FJ, Hofmann MA, King DP, Drew TW, Crooke HR. Development and validation of a multiplex, real-time RT PCR assay for the simultaneous detection of classical and African swine fever viruses. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e71019. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071019

28. Wang A, Jia R, Liu Y, Zhou J, Qi Y, Chen Y, et al. Development of a novel quantitative real-time PCR assay with lyophilized powder reagent to detect African swine fever virus in blood samples of domestic pigs in China. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2020) 67:284–97. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13350

29. Wang Y, Xu L, Noll L, Stoy C, Porter E, Fu J, et al. Development of a real-time PCR assay for detection of African swine fever virus with an endogenous internal control. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2020) 67:2446–54. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13582

30. Wernike K, Hoffmann B, Beer M. Single-tube multiplexed molecular detection of endemic porcine viruses in combination with background screening for transboundary diseases. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2013) 51:938–44. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02947-12

31. Shi X, Liu X, Wang Q, Das A, Ma G, Xu L, et al. A multiplex real-time PCR panel assay for simultaneous detection and differentiation of 12 common swine viruses. *J Virol Methods.* (2016) 236:258–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.08.005