



Article Molecular Characterization of Small Ruminant Lentiviruses Isolated from Polish Goats with Arthritis

Monika Olech ^{1,2,*}, Anna Kycko ³ and Jacek Kuźmak ²

- ¹ Department of Swine Diseases, National Veterinary Research Institute, 24-100 Puławy, Poland
- ² Department of Biochemistry, National Veterinary Research Institute, 24-100 Puławy, Poland; jkuzmak@piwet.pulawy.pl
- ³ Department of Pathology, National Veterinary Research Institute, 24-100 Puławy, Poland; anna.kycko@piwet.pulawy.pl
- * Correspondence: monika.olech@piwet.pulawy.pl; Tel.: +48-81-889-300

Abstract: Previous studies revealed that the small ruminant lentivirus (SRLV) population in Poland is highly heterogeneous. All SRLVs detected from Polish sheep and goats so far have belonged to subtypes B1, B2, A1, A5, A12, A13, A16, A17, A18, A23 and A24. However, all characterized strains originated from asymptomatic animals. This is the first study that characterizes the molecular properties of SRLVs isolated from different organs of six arthritic goats. Segments from three genomic regions (gag, LTR and env) were analyzed. In addition, we quantified the SRLV proviral load in the blood and different organs and examined its association with different degrees of histopathological lesions. All sequences obtained from the goats involved in this study were homogeneous, showing an average degree of variability of 4.8%, 3.7% and 8.8% for gag, LTR and env, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the sequences from the analyzed goats were clustered within SRLVs group A and formed a new subtype within this group, tentatively named A27. The histopathological examination of the lung, mammary gland, synovial membranes of joints and brain of the analyzed goats revealed evidence of inflammatory processes associated with SRLV infection, which was confirmed by positive immunohistochemistry assays. No significant correlation was observed between histological features and alterations in the sequences from different tissues. No tissuespecific signature pattern was identified. It was shown that animals with a higher proviral load showed more lesion severity in various SRLV-affected tissues, indicating a positive association between these two parameters. Our results also revealed differences in the SRLV load between animals even though the sequences derived from all of the goats were closely related, suggesting that host factors may restrict and control viral replication. This study provides new information about SRLV variants isolated from arthritic goats; however, more studies, including the isolation and characterization of biological properties of these viruses, should be performed to evaluate their pathogenic potential.

Keywords: goat; small ruminant lentivirus; SRLV; proviral load; arthritis; pathogenicity; histopathology; genetic characterization

1. Introduction

Maedi visna virus (MVV) and Caprine arthritis encephalitis virus (CAEV) are two single-stranded RNA viruses belonging to the Lentivirus genus of the *Retroviridae* family that cause a lifelong persistent infection in sheep and goats. Initially, MVV and CAEV were considered pathogens specific to sheep and goats, respectively. Recently, due to their ability to cross the species barrier and their genomic and structural similarities, these two viruses are considered a single group called small ruminant lentiviruses (SRLVs). Transmission occurs mainly via the respiratory route and through the ingestion of infected colostrum/milk [1]. The main target cells of the SRLVs are monocytes/macrophages and dendritic cells, and viral replication is restricted until the maturation of monocytes to



Citation: Olech, M.; Kycko, A.; Kuźmak, J. Molecular Characterization of Small Ruminant Lentiviruses Isolated from Polish Goats with Arthritis. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 735. https://doi.org/10.3390/ v14040735

Academic Editor: Shan-Lu Liu

Received: 25 February 2022 Accepted: 30 March 2022 Published: 31 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). macrophages [2,3]. SRLVs cause progressive inflammatory syndromes in different host organs, including the lungs, udders, joints and central nervous system. On infection, animals may remain asymptomatic carriers for life, and only a small proportion of infected animals develop clinical signs such as interstitial pneumonia, indurative mastitis ("hard udder"), arthritis, dyspnea and, more rarely, encephalitis, ataxia or paralysis. In goats, arthritis, indurative mastitis and, more rarely, encephalomyelitis in young kids occur. Occasionally, the infected ones may develop subclinical interstitial pneumonia and progressive dyspnea [1]. Both asymptomatic and symptomatic animals can transmit the virus. Disease progression is usually slow, and the clinical course and outcome of infection are associated with the genetic background of the animals and the virulence of the infecting strain [4,5]. Histopathologically, the different SRLV disease forms involve lesions with infiltration of mononuclear cells (macrophages and lymphocytes), resulting in inflammation, fibrosis and thickening of the tissues. The severity of the histological lesions is shown to be more intense in tissues with higher viral and proviral loads [6,7]; however, the mechanism that regulates viral replication and leads to increased viral load and more severe disease outcomes is still unclear.

SRLVs are genetically heterogeneous, which may account, in part, for the different patterns of clinical diseases. SRLVs are currently divided into five phylogenetic groups, A–E, and further divided into subtypes [8,9]. The mechanism contributing to the distinct tissue specificity of SRLVs remains poorly understood. However, two areas that may contribute to tropism include long terminal repeats (LTRs), particularly the U3 promoter region, and the C-terminus of the Env protein.

The SRLV genome, which is integrated into host cells in the form of a provirus, contains three structural genes (gag, pol and env) and three regulatory genes (vpr-like, rev and *vif*) flanked by non-coding long terminal repeat (LTR) regions. The *gag* gene encodes the capsid protein, which is very conserved and contains linear epitopes, and for this reason, it is used for phylogeny and serological diagnosis. The LTR is divided into three regions, U3, R, and U5, and the U3 region contains a promoter/enhancer sequence and transcription factor binding sites, such as activator proteins (AP)-1, AP-4, AML (vis), γ interferon activated site (GAS) and TNF-activated site (TAS) [10,11]. Several mutations, deletions or duplications in the LTR of SRLVs were shown to be associated with virulence, cellular tropism and pathogenesis [12–16]. Alternatively, envelope glycoproteins may also play a role in cellular host range, infectivity and disease progression. It was shown that hypervariable regions of the *env* gene involved in compartmentalization are implicated in tropism. In the SRLV genome, five variable (V1–V5) regions were previously identified [17]. The presence of different SRLV sequences in the V4 region in different organs suggests that SRLVs can undergo variations in this region during early infection, giving rise to different viral subpopulations. A study on the compartmentalization of SRLVs revealed the presence of different sequences in the blood, lungs, mammary glands, central nervous system and colostrum [18–20].

Previous studies revealed that the population of SRLVs in Poland is highly heterogeneous. The SRLVs detected so far from polish sheep and goats belonged to subtypes B1, B2, A1, A5, A12, A13, A16, A17, A18, A23 and A24 [8]. However, all characterized strains originated from asymptomatic animals. In this study, we describe SRLV sequences isolated from different organs of arthritic goats for the first time. We determined partial *gag*, *env* and LTR sequences, investigated their phylogenetic relationships with sequences of other known SRLV strains, quantified the SRLVs' proviral load in the blood and different organs and examined its association with different degrees of histopathological lesions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals, Serology and Tissue Sample Collection

Six naturally SRLV-infected adult goats originating from northern Poland were used for sample collection. The animals belonged to a flock with a high seroprevalence of SRLV infection as were assessed through the use of commercial test ID Screen MVV/CAEV Indi-

rect Screening (IDvet, Grabels, France). The goats presented as thin bodied (enlargement of the carpal joint, leg weakness, ataxia) and were humanely euthanized at the owner's request due to deterioration in their quality of life. Prior to euthanasia, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from EDTA-anticoagulated blood on a Ficoll-Hypaque gradient. Blood collection was approved (no. 37/2016) by the Local Ethical Committee on Animal Testing at the University of Life Sciences in Lublin (Poland). A complete set of tissues, including joints, lungs, mammary glands, brain and choroid plexus, were collected post-mortem in a thermos flask (+4 °C) in individual containers filled with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) for viral isolation. Additionally, samples from the brain, including choroid plexus, lungs and mammary glands, were collected for

2.2. Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry

characterization, respectively.

Tissue samples were promptly fixed in 10% buffered formalin, then routinely processed, embedded in paraffin and cut on a microtome for histopathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC) examination. For histopathology, 4 µm thick slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). The intensity of the lesions in the target organs was estimated independently by two pathologists. The severity of arthritis/synovitis among the tissues from the examined goats was scored according to the grading system proposed by Cheevers et al. [21]. The severity of the inflammatory infiltration in the examined sections of the lungs and mammary glands was scored as follows: 0—No changes, 1—Mild diffuse inflammatory infiltration, 2—Moderate diffuse inflammatory infiltration, 3—Diffuse inflammatory infiltration with the formation of lymphoid follicles. The severity of lesions observed in the brain was scored as follows: 0—No changes, 1—Mild lymphoplasmacytic infiltration within choroid plexus, 2—Moderate lymphoplasmacytic infiltration within choroid plexus and focal perivascular cuffings, mild gliosis.

nucleic acid extraction and histopathological analysis. In addition, synovial fluids and synovial membranes obtained from joints were used for virus isolation and molecular

Select tissues with lesions histologically consistent with SRLV-associated inflammation were further evaluated by an immunohistochemistry assay to detect the SRLV p28 Gag antigen. IHC was performed using the DAB/HRP Envision system (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark). Briefly, 4 µm thick paraffin-embedded tissue sections were cut and mounted on positively charged slides. After overnight air-drying, the slides were deparaffinized, rehydrated using xylene and graded alcohols and then treated with a 3% hydrogen peroxide solution for 10 min to block the activity of endogenous peroxidases. Antigen retrieval was carried out by treatment in a pressure cooker (110 °C) with citrate buffer pH 6 for 20 min. The slides were then incubated with the CAEV10A1 primary antibody (VMRD) diluted 1:100 for 60 min at room temperature (RT), followed by incubation with a peroxidaseconjugated polymer as a secondary antibody (Dako REAL EnVision Detection System, K5007, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 min. After color development with diaminobenzidine solution, sections were counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin, dehydrated and mounted. Sections incubated with PBS instead of the primary antibody were used to confirm the specificity of the staining. The tissues were analyzed under a light microscope (Axiolab, Zeiss, Germany) for the presence of positive labeling.

2.3. DNA Extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples using a NucleoSpin Blood Quick Pure Kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co KG, Dueren, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of the DNA were checked in a Nanophotometer (Implen GmbH, Munich, Germany). The CA (625 bp) fragment of the *gag* gene fragment, the V4V5 fragment of the *env* gene and the U3-R fragment of the LTR region were amplified by nested PCRs, as previously described [8]. A water template negative control was run parallel with each PCR reaction set. PCR products were purified using NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up (Marcherey-Nagel, GmbH 7 Co., Hamburg, Germany) and directly sequenced on a 3730 xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit. The obtained SRLV sequences were edited and aligned against known reference sequences using the MUSCLE multiple-alignment software provided as part of Geneious Pro 5.3 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand). All novel sequences reported in this study were submitted to the Gen-Bank database under accession numbers: OM517045-OM517079 for the *gag* sequences, OM517080-OM517094 for the *env* sequences and OM517095-OM517127 for the LTR. The best-fitting nucleotide substitution models were estimated, and the GTR, TN93 and K2 with the gamma distribution rates were used to infer a phylogenetic tree using the maximum likelihood (ML) method for the *env*, *gag* and LTR sequences, respectively. The reliability of the phylogenetic relationships was evaluated by nonparametric bootstrap analysis with 1000 iterations. Alignment, model testing, and tree building were performed using the MEGA 6 application [22]. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence percent identity (percentage of bases/residues which are identical) was estimated using the Geneious software, while pairwise genetic distances were calculated with the MEGA 6 software.

2.4. Proviral Load Quantification

Proviral DNA was quantified by real-time PCR using Rotor-Gene Q Series ver. 2.0.3 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with primers and a probe specifically designed based on the *gag* sequences obtained for the SRLV subtype described in this study. The sequence of the forward and reverse primers and probe were CAPBWF (5'GAAGCAGAAAGGTGGGTAAGA 3'), CAPBWR (5' TTGCGATGCCTGTTGATTTG3') and CAPBWP (5' 6-FAM-ATCCACTGTGA-GGACATTTGGCCC -BHQ-1 3'), respectively. The 50 µL reaction mixture contained 25 µL of 2× QuantiTect Multiplex NoROX PCR buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), 400 nM of each primer, 200 nM of the TaqMan probe and 500 ng of extracted genomic DNA. The cycling protocol involved an initial incubation for polymerase activation at 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 60 s and annealing/extension at 60 °C for 60 s. A non-template control (DEPC H2O) was included in each run. Standard curves were generated using a 10-fold serial dilution from 10⁸ to 10 copies of the reference plasmid encompassing the target *gag* region. All samples were tested in duplicate, and the results were expressed as a mean copy number of provirus per 500 ng of genomic DNA of each goat.

3. Results

3.1. Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

All of the goats presented macroscopic lesions compatible with chronic proliferative arthritis that consisted of enlarged carpal joints. Histopathological changes observed in the joints involved synoviocyte proliferation and synovial membrane thickening with the formation of papillary Villar projections, accompanied by lymphoplasmacytic infiltrations of the subsynovial connective tissue and perivascular spaces (Figure 1E) as well as diffuse fibrosis. Necrosis with focal calcification was occasionally observed within the fibrous capsule. The severity of the lesions observed in the joints is summarized in Table 1.

In the lungs, mild changes characteristic of interstitial pneumonia, including interstitial lymphocytic infiltrations and thickening of the alveolar septa, were observed (Figure 1C). In the mammary glands, around the acini and the lactiferous ducts, diffuse mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates of variable intensity were present, accompanied by multifocal inspissation and the occasional mineralization of the duct contents (Figure 1G). Finally, lesions in the brain were scant and seen only in three out of six analyzed goats. They were characterized by mild lymphoplasmacytic infiltration of the choroid plexus and, rarely, focal perivascular cuffings and gliosis in the brainstem (Figure 1A,B). The scored severity of the inflammatory infiltration in the examined sections of the lungs, mammary glands and brains of the goats is shown in Table 1.



Figure 1. Example photomicrographs of tissue sections from the CAEV-infected goats. (**A–C,E,G**)—Sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE); (**D,F,H**)—Immunohistochemical (IHC) labeling for CAEV p28 detection. (**A**) Brainstem, goat 5. Locally present mononuclear infiltrations

around the blood vessel; (**B**) Choroid plexus, goat 5. Mononuclear infiltration within the choroid; (**C**) Lung, goat 7. Moderate interstitial pneumonia. The alveolar septa and peribronchial interstitium are widened by diffuse mononuclear infiltration and eosinophilic amorphic material; (**D**) Lung, goat 7. Positive CAEV p28 immunolabeling visible as brown particles in the cytoplasm of the bronchiolar epithelium (black arrows) and single macrophage-like cells (white arrows); (**E**) Carpal joint, goat 5. Hypertrophic synovial membrane-forming villous projections thickened by mononuclear infiltrates; (**F**) Carpal joint, goat 7. Positive CAEV p28 immunolabeling visible as brown particles in the cytoplasm of singular cells (arrows) within subsynovial mononuclear infiltrations; (**G**) Mammary gland, goat 5. Multifocal to diffuse mononuclear infiltrations around lactiferous ducts, blood vessels and within interstitial tissue; (**H**) Mammary gland, goat 5. Positive CAEV p28 immunolabeling in the cytoplasm of macrophage-like cells infiltrating the periacinar area; Scale bars = 50 μ m.

Table 1. Inflammation intensity scored in histopathological sections from the examined goats.

	Joints	Brains	Lung	Mammary Glands
Goat 2	3 *	0	1	2
Goat 3	2 *	0	1	2
Goat 4	2 *	0	1	3
Goat 5	3 *	2	1	2
Goat 6	2 *	0	1	2
Goat 7	3 *	2	2	2

* arthritis score according to the grading system by Cheevers et al. [21].

Immunohistochemical examination showed positive staining for SRLV p28 in the articular tissues in several inflammatory cells, in the lungs in several bronchiolar epithelial cells and single mononuclear cells, as well as in single macrophage-like cells in the mammary glands (Figure 1). IHC performed on the brain tissues was negative. There was no positive immunolabeling detected in any of the examined brain sections.

3.2. Phylogenetic Analysis

Total DNA isolated from the peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC), mammary gland, lung, synovial membrane and brain of all goats were used as templates for the PCR amplification of the gag gene encoding the capsid protein. The band corresponding to the expected 625 bp PCR product was detected in all tissue samples of the animals except the lung-derived samples originating from goat 5. The obtained sequences were aligned with other published sequences representing SRLV genotypes described to date and subjected to phylogenetic analysis. The maximum-likelihood (ML) tree revealed that all analyzed sequences were closely related to each other (mean genetic distance of 4.8%) and that the sequences derived from different tissues of the same animal clustered together. The mean nucleotide distance of sequences derived from different tissues of each goat did not exceed 1.3% (ranging from 0.2% to 1.3%). Interestingly, all of the analyzed sequences formed a new cluster within group A, which could be tentatively named A27. The affiliation of the new cluster, A27, was supported with high bootstrap values \geq 85 (Figure 2). The mean genetic distances between sequences forming the new subtype and sequences representing other subtypes representative of genotype A varied from 10.0% to 21.7% (Table 2). Polish SRLV A27 nucleotide sequences showed the highest nucleotide sequence identity, with strains representative of subtype A13. To evaluate the robustness of our analysis, we also performed a phylogenetic analysis using the neighbor-joining method (Supplementary Materials Figure S1), which resulted in the same classification, supporting the existence of the new subtype.

Table 2. Mean pairwise nucleotide genetic distance between subtypes of genotype A (inter-genotype) based on the CA fragment of the *gag* gene. In the present study, the SRLV subtypes found by Colitti et al. [23] were renamed from A18 to A19 and from A19 to A20, and the subtypes found by Olech et al. [8] were renamed from A23 to A25 and from A24 to A26.

	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A8	A9	A11	A12	A13	A16	A17	A18	A19	A20	A21	A22	A23	A24	A25	A26	A27
A1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A2	16.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A3	13.8	11.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A4	14.7	16.1	15.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A5	15.7	14.7	11.9	15.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A8	16.2	17.1	15.3	17.3	17.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A9	15.2	14.5	12.2	16.5	14.3	15.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A11	15.9	14.6	14.6	18.4	15.9	15.9	13.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A12	16.2	12.7	10.9	16.6	13.5	16.7	15.8	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A13	16.0	10.8	11.9	14.9	13.8	17.5	16.0	14.7	13.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A16	17.9	15.9	17.2	15.7	16.4	19.6	20.6	18.0	16.1	16.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A17	14.2	11.5	8.8	15.0	11.0	15.7	11.5	15.5	10.0	13.2	14.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A18	19.3	11.9	14.2	18.3	16.2	20.4	17.5	17.1	14.4	12.3	15.9	13.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A19	14.8	13.2	10.9	14.5	12.3	14.3	4.6	12.8	14.8	14.1	18.1	10.5	15.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A20	19.3	13.7	13.5	15.7	13.5	16.9	16.7	16.0	13.3	14.1	15.2	14.5	14.9	14.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A21	15.8	13.8	12.6	18.1	15.4	18.0	16.1	13.5	12.9	12.5	16.9	13.7	14.5	15.0	15.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A22	24.4	20.6	19.7	24.2	18.6	24.0	22.5	22.7	20.5	22.6	23.3	20.2	21.0	22.2	18.8	21.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
A23	19.6	17.2	16.4	18.8	17.4	17.0	15.7	14.9	16.9	18.8	21.7	16.8	20.3	14.5	15.9	19.4	21.3	-	-	-	-	-
A24	15.9	12.6	12.0	16.0	14.2	13.2	11.8	13.9	14.0	13.3	18.2	12.8	15.6	12.5	16.2	13.1	21.1	15.0	-	-	-	-
A25	15.1	11.1	12.3	15.6	14.0	16.2	14.0	15.3	12.7	11.9	15.8	12.6	12.2	13.3	11.6	14.6	21.0	17.5	14.4	-	-	-
A26	17.7	11.0	12.9	16.6	13.2	17.2	15.5	14.4	13.0	11.1	16.0	13.0	10.2	14.8	11.4	13.1	18.8	17.6	12.1	10.3	-	-
A27	13.2	11.3	11.9	16.0	13.0	17.4	14.7	13.2	12.4	10.0	14.2	12.4	12.8	13.6	14.0	12.2	21.7	18.2	14.2	11.0	10.7	-

In order to confirm the genetic assessment of the sequences obtained from the analyzed goats, we amplified and sequenced a genomic fragment encompassing a highly variable region of the envelope gene (*env*) encoding for the carboxy-terminus portion its surface subunit (SU). Unfortunately, amplification of this fragment succeeded only for the lung-derived samples of goat 4 and 6, brain-derived samples of goat 4 and 5, choroid plexus-derived samples of goat 5, mammary gland-derived samples of goat 4, 5 and 6, synovial membrane-derived samples of goat 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 and PBMC-derived samples of goat 2 and 5. The phylogenetic tree (Figure 3) confirmed that the sequences originating from the goats analyzed in this study belonged to the new subtype A27. The mean nucleotide distance between sequences belonging to the A27 and other subtypes within group A ranged from 23.7% to 31.8%. The mean genetic distance of the *env* sequences originating from all goats was 8.8% and ranged from 4.7% to 12.6%. Within each animal, the mean nucleotide distance of sequences from different tissues did not exceed 7.5% (ranging from 0.8% to 7.5%).



Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of the CA fragment of the *gag* gene. Sequences from this study are labeled by black circles. Numbers at the branches indicate the percentage of bootstrap values obtained from 1000 replicates. Bootstrap values > 70% are shown.

In the present study, the SRLV subtypes found by Colitti et al. [23] were renamed from A18 to A19 and from A19 to A20, and the subtypes found by Olech et al. [8] were renamed from A23 to A25 and from A24 to A26; goat 2—Blue, goat 3—Black, goat 4—Yellow, goat 5—Green, goat 6—Red, goat 7—Pink.



0.2

Figure 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of the V4V5 fragment of the *env* gene. Sequences from this study are labeled by black circles. Numbers at the branches indicate the percentage of bootstrap values obtained from 1000 replicates. Bootstrap values >70% are shown. In the present study, the SRLV subtypes found by Olech et al. [8] were renamed from A23 to A25 and from A24 to A26.

3.3. Comparative Analysis of Immunodominant Regions

Nucleotide sequences of the gag and env genes were translated into amino acid (aa) sequences and aligned with the aa sequences of reference strains: Cork and K1514. The pairwise percent identity of the gag amino acid sequences of the SRLVs obtained from the tested goats was high and ranged from 91.7% to 100%. The pairwise percent identity of sequences originating from the same animal ranged from 97.4% to 100%. Furthermore, the analyzed sequences shared 84.2-86.8% and 93.1-96.1% amino acid sequence identity with the Cork and K1514 strains, respectively. Analysis revealed that all CA sequences originating from all tested goats had the asparagine-valine (NV) motif, which is specific to MVV-like (group A) sequences (Figure 4). Epitope 2 was fully conserved in all sequences originating from different tissues of all goats. Sequences of epitope 3 were also quite conserved. Only glutamic acid (E) replaced lysine (K) in sequences derived from the choroid plexus and brain of goat 6, and arginine (R) replaced lysine (K) in all sequences derived from goat 4. More alterations were found in the major homology region (MHR), which is highly conserved in all retroviruses. No tissue-specific signature pattern was identified in the gag sequences. Most of the substitutions were specific for each animal. In particular, all sequences from goat 3 had the substitution of lysine (K) instead of arginine (R) at position 137 compared to the sequences originating from the other goats, aspartic acid (D) instead of glutamic acid (E) and histidine (H) instead of glutamine (Q) in positions 7 and 98, respectively. All sequences originating from different tissues of goat 2 had the unique substitutions ^S41^N, ^K129^R and ^K139^R, while all sequences from goat 4 had the unique substitutions K41^R, E46^D, A101^S, N161^T, A177^S and S178^T. The sequences from goat 7 had the unique substitutions M118^T, N141^S, V188^T and T191^N, while the sequences from goats 5 and 6 had the unique substitution ¹148^V. Furthermore, all sequences from goats 2 and 3 had the specific substitution isoleucine (I) instead of threonine (T) at position 10 compared to the sequences from the other goats.

Next, the deduced amino acid sequences of the immunodominant regions of the surface glycoproteins (SU) were aligned with the corresponding reference sequences of parental strains Cork and K1514 (Figure 5). The *env* as sequences obtained from the goats were more heterogeneous, showing 77.6–98.3% similarity to each other and 65.8–71.0% and 77.0–79.0% to the Cork and K1514 strains, respectively. Most of the variation was observed in the variable region (V4), especially in the highly variable region (HV2) (Figure 5). Sequences of epitope SU5 were more conserved among the sequences derived from the analyzed goats and differed prominently from the SU5 sequences of the Cork and K1514 strains and other strains representing known subtypes (Supplementary Materials Figure S2). Only the sequence originating from the choroid plexus of goat 5 differed from the sequences originating from the other goats analyzed in this study. All sequences had a perfectly conserved motif located at the N-terminus part (VRAYTYGV) and more the variable motif at the C-part.

		Epitope 2	_
Cork	RSWKAVDSVMFQQLQTVAMQHGLVSEDFERQLAYYATTWTSKDILEVLAMMPGNRAQKE	IQGKLNEEAERWRRNNPPP	PAGGGLTVDQIMGVGQTNQAAAQANM
K1514	EV		NVQ.S
Goat2PBMC	E.INNN		NV
Goat2 SM	ET N N	V O G	NV 0.5
Goat2 CHP	E T N N	V O G	NV 0.5
Goat2 B	ET N N	V O G	NV 0.5
Goat2 L	F T N N	v o c	NV 0.9
Coat2 MC	D T N N		NV 0.6
Goat2 MG	т м		NU UO C
GOALSPBMC	т. N.	······································	NVHQ.S
GOAT3 SM		V.QG	NV
Goat3 L	IN	V.QG	NVHQ.S
Goat3 MG	IN	V.QG	NVHQ.S
Goat3 CHP	IN	V.QG	NVHQ.S
Goat3 B	IN	V.QG	NVHQ.S
Goat4PBMC	ETN	V.QG	NVQ
Goat4 SM	ETN	V.QG	NVQ
Goat4 CHP	ETN	V.QG	NVQ
Goat4 B	ETN		NVQ
Goat4 L	ETN		NV
Goat4 MG	ETN	v.og	NV
Goat 5 PBMC	ETN	V.ÕG	NV
Goat5 CHP		V O G	NV 0.5
Goat5 B	Е Т N	V O G	NV 0.5
Coate MC	Σ Ψ N		NV OS
Goats MG	рт N		NVQ.S
GOALS SM	ці		νπ <i>ι</i> ο ο
GOALSPEMC	EI		
GOAT6 SM	ETN	V.QG	2NVQ.S
Goat6 L	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat6 MG	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat6 CHP	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat6 B	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat7PBMC	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat7 L	ETS	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat7 SM	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat7 B	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat7 CHP	ETN	V.QG	NVQ.S
Goat7 MG	ETN		NVQ.S
	MHR		Epitope 3
Cork	MHR DOAROICLOWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGNPMLVKOKTNEFYEDFAARLLEA	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCOKO	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVOOASVEEKMOACRDV
Cork K1514	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGNPMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPI T.SD	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ TVT	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat 2PBMC	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGNPMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPI RTSSNT.SID T.S.S.K. R.N.N.E.I. ND	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ TVT T V T	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV TT
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IR	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ TVT TVT T.V.T	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV TT VTTK V.T. T.K.
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPID	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ TVT TVT TVT T.V	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV T
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPI	XDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV T
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 L Coat2 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPIJ	XDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 L Goat2 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPID	XDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV T. V.T.
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3PBMC	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQNVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2 PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPIJ	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2 PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTOPIJ	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2 2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 L Goat2 L Goat2 L Goat2 PBMC Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQAVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV <tr td=""></tr>
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PEMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 CHP Goat3 B	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPIJ	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. T. V. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. <tr td=""> T.</tr>	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV T. V.T.
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3PPBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CH Goat3 CH Goat3 CH Goat3 CH	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 L Goat2 L Goat3 PEMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat3 B Goat4PEMC Goat4 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPI	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 L Goat3 MG Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 CHP Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPIJ	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. ST.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV T. V. T. <tr< th=""></tr<>
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CH Goat4 SH Goat4 SHPMC Goat4 SH	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 L	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 B Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 MG Goat3 MG Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 L Goat4 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA IDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV T V. T.
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CH Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 MG Goat4 MG Goat4 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 L Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5PBMC Goat5 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 MG Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 L Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5 CHP Goat5 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 MG Goat4 MG Goat5 CHP Goat5 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. T. T. V. T. <th>Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV </th>	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat5 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 MG	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5 CHP Goat5 B Goat5 MG Goat5 SM Goat5 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 MG Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat6PBMC	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. V. <tr td=""> T.</tr>	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat6PBMC Goat6 SM Goat6 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. T	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV <tr tr=""></tr>
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PEMC Goat3 PEMC Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5 PEMC Goat5 SB Goat5 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 L Goat6 L Goat6 L	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. T. T. V. T. <th>Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV </th>	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5PBMC Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PEMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PEMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 CHP Goat4 B Goat4 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 MG Goat5 SM Goat6 CHP Goat6 SM Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV <tr td=""></tr>
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat5 CHP Goat5 EM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 CHP Goat6 L Goat6 L Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. T. V. T. V. T. V. T. V. <tr td=""> T. T.<</tr>	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat3 B Goat4 PBMC Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 CHP Goat6 B Goat6 CHP Goat6 B Goat7 L	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV <tr td=""></tr>
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 PEMC Goat4 SM Goat5 PEMC Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat6 L Goat6 SM Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat7 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	DYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQNVQQASVEEKMQACRDV <tr td=""></tr>
Cork K1514 Goat2PPBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat4 CHP Goat4 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5PEMC Goat5 SM Goat6PEMC Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat7 CHP Goat7 SM Goat7 SM Goat7 SM Goat7 SM Goat7 SM Goat7 SM	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEAIDAEPVTQPII R. T. S. S. K. NT.S. I. D.	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGORVQQASVEEKMQACRDV
Cork K1514 Goat2PBMC Goat2 SM Goat2 L Goat2 MG Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat3 MG Goat4 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP Goat5 MG Goat5 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 L Goat6 SM Goat6 CHP Goat6 MG Goat7 CHP	MHR DQARQICLQWVINALRAVRHMAHRPGN PMLVKQKTNEPYEDFAARLLEA DAEPVTQPII	CDYLKLTLSYTNASADCQKQ T. V. T. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. STT. T. V. T. T. V. T.	Epitope 3 MDRTLGQRVQQASVEEKMQACRDV

Figure 4. Alignment (MUSCLE) of deduced amino acid sequences of the *gag*-p25 capsid protein of SRLVs obtained in this study and K1514 (GenBank accession number M60609) and Cork (GenBank accession number M33677) reference strains, which are MVV (group A) and CAEV (group B) prototype strains, respectively. Identical residues are indicated by dots (.). Two immunodominant epitopes and the major homology region (MHR) are within squares. PBMC—Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, L—Lung, SM—Synovial membrane, B—Brain, CHP—Choroid plexus, MG—Mammary gland.

	V4 HV2	
Consensus	TMWXTYKNCTGCANXTLDREGNGTLGTVKNVNCSLPHVNESKKWTCAARQKEXX	RDSLYIAG-RDFWGRVKAQYSCESNIGGLDGMMHQQLLLQ
K1514	NI.QSK.N.SSTNDLKRNR.GSF	RLSM
Cork	GISE.ANT.EG.A.KKNPRDGH	<pre>KTGKKT.IFQLI</pre>
Goat4 L	GC.VTIA.R	8
Goat4 MG	DVTIAEF	R
Goat4 B	GVT	<v< td=""></v<>
Goat7 SM	G	(F
Goat2 SM	G	<
Goat2 PBMC	GVTLLL	<
Goat6 L	SKT.MDDL.K	۰
Goat6 MG	SKKMTDGLKN.KF	RKM
Goat4 SM	STMKE.I	<
Goat6 SM	ST.MNSE.I	<
Goat5 SM	EYE.AE.TA.ILNKNGEI	
Goat5 PBMC	SE.RD.G.TA.INKNGEF	{
Goat5 B	S	۰۷
Goat5 MG	S	łF
Goat5 CHP	SRR.AE.SA.IKQQ	<
_	Epitope SU5	
Consensus	RYQVIRVRAYTYGVVEMPESYVKSNVKRKRDLKQGRKKRGIGLVIVLAIMAJ	IIAAAGAGLGVANAVQQSYTRTAVQSLANATAAQQN
K1514		······································
Cork	KKVM.V	.VD
Goat4 L	DD	
Goat4 MG	DD	
Goat4 B		
GOAT / SM		
Goat2 SM		
Goat2 PBMC		
Goat6 L		
Goat6 MG	D	
Goat4 SM	QTEKR	
Goat6 SM	IEKRQTTEKR	К
Goat5 SM		т
Goat5 PBMC	X	
Goat5 B	DD	
Goat5 MG	R	D
Goat5 CHP	I.DTQKR.Q.SAEITLKRV	D

Figure 5. Alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of an immunodominant epitope of the ENV protein and variable region V4 of SRLVs obtained in this study and reference strains. Deletions are indicated by a dash (-), and identical residues are indicated by dots (.). PBMC—Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, L—Lung, SM—Synovial membrane, B—Brain, CHP—Choroid plexus, MG—Mammary gland.

3.4. Analysis of LTR Sequences

LTR sequences from the lungs, choroid plexus, mammary glands, synovial membrane and PBMC of all six goats and from the brain of goats 4, 5 and 7 were successfully amplified and were aligned with the corresponding sequences of SRLV strains with defined virulence, including sequences of the virulent B1 strain Cork [24], A2/A3 strain 697 isolated from sheep with neurological symptoms [25], neurovirulent A1 strain Kv1772 [13], B2 strain 496 isolated from arthritic sheep [26] as well as to the attenuated A4 strain 6221 [27].

The LTR sequences originating from all goats were closely related to each other. The mean genetic distance of the LTR sequences was 3.9%. Only the sequence derived from the choroid plexus of goat 4 was quite divergent from the rest of the sequences. The genetic distance of this sequence, compared to the other sequence from different tissues of all analyzed goats, ranged from 14.5% to 16.8%. The mean nucleotide distance of the sequences from different tissues of each goat did not exceed 4.3%. Only in the case of goat 4 the mean nucleotide distance of sequences from different tissues was 6.5% and ranged from 0.5% to 16%. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that sequences derived from different tissues of the same animal generally clustered together (Figure 6). Only goat 4 had distinct sequence isolated from the choroid plexus and synovial membrane; goat 5 had a distinct sequence isolated from the lungs, while sequences isolated from the choroid plexus, synovial membrane and lungs.



Figure 6. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of the LTR fragment. Sequences from this study are labeled by black circles. Numbers at the branches indicate the percentage of bootstrap values obtained from 1000 replicates. Bootstrap values >70% are shown. PBMC—Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, L—Lung, SM—Synovial membrane, B—Brain, CHP—Choroid plexus, MG—Mammary gland, goat 2—Blue, goat 3—Black, goat 4—Yellow, goat 5—Green, goat 6—Red, goat 7—Pink.

Multiple conserved motifs were identified within the LTR sequences, including AP-1 sites, AML, AP-4, TATA box, poly A, CAAAT, GAS and TAS. Sequences corresponding to the TATA box, AP-4, GAS and polyadenylation signal (poly A) were quite conserved among all sequences (Figure 7). Almost all sequences originating from the analyzed goats had a unique T to A substitution in the fifth position of the TATA box. Five different sites of AP-1 (TGACAC, G/TAGTCA, TCATGTA, TTAG/AGTCA, ATA/GAT/CTA/GT/G) were observed in sequences derived from all goats analyzed in this study. Furthermore, all tissue sequences from all goats presented two highly conserved AML(vis) sites. Some point mutations were observed in the TAS region; however, the sequences originating from different tissues of the same animal were very homologous. Two deletions in the U3 region of the A5 strains were noted; one (10 nt) located near the 5' end of the U3 region, and the second (21 nt) located in the central region of the U3. The presence of these deletions was also shown in attenuated strain 6221 as well as in virulent strain 697. The sequence derived from the choroid plexus of goat 4 had a 12-nt deletion in the R region, while all sequences from goat 3 had a 9-nt deletion in this track. Similar deletions were present in virulent strain 697 as well as attenuated strain 6221. The number of repeats of the CAAAT sequence was different depending on the strains. Strain Kv1772 had three copies of the CAAAT sequence, while Cork, strain 496 (B2) and 497 (A2/A3) had only one copy of the CAAAT, and strain 6221, representing subtype A4, had no copy. The number of CAAAT sequences derived from different tissues of the analyzed goats varied from 1 to 3. Only the sequences derived from the mammary gland and brain of goat 5 had three copies of CAAAT.

	<u>U3</u>		AP-1	AP-1	
Cork	ACAAGCTAGGCCAAATTCCTGTA	AATCACTTGGGGGGTTATAAG	AAAGCAAG	ITCACTA <mark>IGACAAA</mark> GCAAAAT	GTAAAGGCCAAATTCCTGT
496	AAAA.AA.	AGAAGTACGG	.C.T.GG	AAGGATG	C.T
Kv1772	.A.G.G.G.A.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.AA.CCTACCCTGG.A	TGA.T.T	G.AGAGT.ATAGG.AGGTCGTCA	CTGTCCACATA
697 A3	A.TGTCAGGACCG.	G.A. AAG.CCTACC.TGG	TGAAT.T	G.GGAGT.AGA.TT	TCGC.A.A.
6221 A4 Cost2 SM	C CCT C A TCTCACCCCAC	G.GAC.ACCIACA.GGG		G.GCAG. AGACI. I.C	T.CGA.A.C.
Goat2 MG	G GCT G A TGTCAGGGCAG	G A AA CCCATG TGG	T ATT T	CAGAAGACIG.I	T C GCAA
Goat2 CHP	G GCT G A TGTTAGGGCAG	G A AA CCCATG TGG	T ATT T	G AGAAG ACT G T	T C GCAA
Goat2PBMC	G.GCT.G.A.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AA.CCCATG.TGG.	.T.ATT.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat2 L	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TGG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCA
Goat3 L	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.GA.CCCATG.TAG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat3PBMC	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.AGACCCATG.TAG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCCGCAA
Goat3MG	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TAG	T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat3 SM	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.GA.CCCATG.TAG	T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat3 CHP	G.GCT.G.A.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AA.CCCATG.TAG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat4PBMC	G.GCT.G.A.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.GA.CCCATG.TGG.		G.AGAAGGCTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat4 B	G.GCT.G. A.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.AGACCCATG.TGG		G.AGAAGGCTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat4 MG	G GCT G A TETCAGGGCAG	G.AGACCCAIG.IGG		CAGAAGGCTG.T	T C CCAA
Goat4 MG	G GCT G A TGTCAGGGCAG	G A GA CCCATG.IGG		CAGAAGGCIG.I	T C GCAA
Goat4 CHP	G GCT G A TGTTAGGGCAG	G A AA CCTACC TGG A	TGA T T	G AGAAT A GCT T	T T GCTA
Goat5 L	G.GCT.G.A.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.AA.CCCATG.TGG.		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat5 SM	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.GA.CCCATG.TGG	C.T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat5 MG	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TGG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat5PBMC	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TGG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat5 MG	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TAG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat5 B	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TGG	T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat5 CHP	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.GA.CCCATG.TGG	T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat6 CHP	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AACCCATG.TGG	T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat6 L	G.GCT.GA.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.AGACCCATG.TGG	T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat6 MG	G.GCT.G.A.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A.AA.CCCATG.TGG		G.AGAAGACTGGT	TTGCAA
Goat6PBMC	G.GCT.G. A.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A. RA. CCCATG. TGG.	.T.AYT.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat6 SM	G.GCT.G. A.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.A. AA. CCCATG. TGG	.T.A.T.T	G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat7 B	G GCT G A TETCAGGGCAG	G.A. AA. CCCAIG. IAG		GGAAGACIG.I	T.CGCAA
Goat7 CHP	G GCT G A TGTCAGGGCAG	G A GA CCCATG TAG	T A TG	GGAAG ACT G T	T C GCAA
Goat 7PBMC	G.GCT.G. A.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AA.CCCATG.TAG.		G. AGAAG ACT G. T	TC
Goat7 MG	G.GCT.G.A.TGTCAGGGCAG.	G.A.AA.CCCATG.TGG.		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
Goat7 SM	G.GCT.G. A.TGTCAGGACAG.	G.AGACCCATG.TGG		G.AGAAGACTG.T	TCGCAA
	GAS				
	AP-1 AML(vis) <u>AP</u> 1	AP	-1 AML(vis) ¹	AP-1 AP-1
Cork	AP-1 AML (AAATCACTTGGG	vis) AP_1 GGGTTATAAGAAAAGCAAGTT	AP CACTAIGAC	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAAG-TG	AP-1 AP-1
Cork 496	AP-1 AML (AAATCACTTGGG	vis) AP_1 GGGTTATAAGAAAAGCAAGTT TTGG	AP CACTAIGAC	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG	AP-1 AP-1 CTGACAGA TGTAACAGC TGACATA
Cork 496 Kv1772	AP-1 AML (AAATCACTTGGG C. GGATGACACAGCAA.TG.AAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATAAGAAAAGCAAGTI	AP CACTAIGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CIGACAGA TGTAACAGC TGACATA
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4	AP-1 AML (AAATCACTTGGC C.GGATGACACAGCAA.TG_AAC C	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGT TTGCTCAT C	AP CACTATGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAA .CTGAT.C .C.TGAT.C	AP-1 AP-1 GTGACAG2 GTGACAG4 GTGACT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM	AP-1 AML AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACACAGCAA.TG_AAC C.GCAACAGCAA.TG_AAC C.GCAACAGCAACAGCAA.TG_AAC C.GCAACAGCAACAGCAAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGT	AP CACTAIGAC GG .GG .GG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAA .CTGAT.C TGAT.C .CT.C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATY
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 MG	AP-1 AML (AAA TCACTTGGG C.GG/TGACAC/GCAA.TG AAC C C C C C	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAGTT TTGS C	AP CACTAIGAC GG .GG .GG .GGG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAAC	AP-1 AP-1 CTGACCAGA TGTAACAGG TGGTTTTT. CGCTGAGA
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 MG Goat2 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACAC2 GCAA.TG C. C.	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAGT TT TT C TT TT TT C TT C C C C CT CT CT CT CT CT	AP CACTALGAC G .GG .GG .GGG .GGG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAA .CAA .CAA .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGGACAGA TGGTTTTT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 PBMC	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACACAGCAA.TG_AAC C.ACA C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TG_AAC C.ACA C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TG_CAA.TG_CAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TG_CAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TG_CAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TG_CAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACAACAGCAACAGCAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACAACAGCAACAGCAACAGCAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACAACAGCAACAGCAACAGCAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACAACAGCAACAGCAACAGCAAC C.ACA C.GCATGACAACAGCAACAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCRAGTI	AP CACTAFGAC G 	-1 ML (vis) ¹ AAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAA .CTGAAT.C .CTGAT.C .CTGAT.C .CT.C .CT.C .CT.C .C	AP-1 AP-1 GFGACAGA GTGACAGA TGCTTTTT.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 MG Goat2 CHP Goat2PBMC Goat2 L	AP-1 AML AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAA.TGAAC C.AAC C.GCA/TGACACA/GCAAA.TGAAC C.AAC C.GA/TGACACA/GCAAA.TGAAC C.AAC C.GA/TGACACA/GCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATRAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGG	AP CACTAIGAC GG .GG .GG .GGC .GGC .GGG .GGG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAA CAA CAA CAA C.CAA C.C	AP-1 AP-1 CEGACAG2 TGACAG3 TGCTTTTTCGCTGA C.TG. GCTTTT.GC.GCTGA C.TG. GCTTTT.GC.GCTAG C.TG. GCTTT.GC.GCA C.TG. GCTTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG. GCTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG. GCTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG. GCTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG. GCTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG. GCTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG. GCTT.A-C.GGA.AG C.TG.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 L Goat3 L	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GG/TGACAC/GCAA.TG AAC C. C.	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTAITGAC G .GG .GG .GGG .GGG .GGG .GGG .GGG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACCAGATGTAACAGGTGACATA A.G. CGCTTTT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACAC2GCAA.TG AAC C.GCA TGACAC2GCAA.TG C.GCA TGACAC2GCAA.TG<	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGT TTGG TTGG TTGG TTGG TTGG CTGC CTGC CTGC CTGC CTGC CTGC CTGC CTGC CTGC CT CCT CT CT CT CT CT C	AP CACTAFGAC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 GGACAGA GTAACAGG TGACATA GGATCAGA TGCTTTTT. CGCTGA C. TG .GCTTT. GC GCTGA C. TG .GCTTT. GC GCTAA. C. TG .GCTT. GC. GCT. .GCTT. GC. GCT. .GCTT. .GC. .GC. .GCTT. .GC. .GC. .GCTT. .AC. .GC. .GCTT. .AC. .GC. .GCTT. .AC. .GC. .GCTT. .AC. .GC. .GCTT. .GC. .GCA. .GCTT. .GC. .GCA. .GCTT. .C. .GCA. .GCTT. .C. .GCA. .GCTA. .C. .GCA. .GCTA. .C. .GCA.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6021 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 BBMC Goat3MG	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG_AAC C.	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATERAGARAGCAGTT TTdt	AP CACTALGAC - GG - GG - GG - GG - GG - GG - GG - G	$\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & AML (vis)^{1} \\ \hline & AAAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAAG-TG \\ .C. & . & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . & . & . \\ .C. & . & . \\$	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAGC TGCTTTTT.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 JBMC Goat3 SM	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA/TGACAC2/GCAA.TG AAC C.GCA TGACAC2/GCAA.TG	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS	AP CACTATGAC - GG - GG 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAGG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGATGTAACAG(TGACATZ CTTTTT. CCTTTT.GC.GCTGAC.C.TG. GCTTTT.GC.GCTAAC.C.TG. GCTTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.A.CGCA.AC.C.TG.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 JB Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GG/TGACAC/GCAA.TG AAC C. C.	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTALEGAC . GC . GC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAG-G-TG .CAA CAA CAAT.CAAT.CAAT.C .CAAT.C .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGATGTAACAGGTGACATA A.G. TGGTTTTTGC.GCTGAA.C.TG GCTTTT.GC.GCTGAA.C.TG GCTTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 DEMC Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat4 PEMC	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACACAGCAA.TG AAC C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TG AAC C.GCATGACAGCAA.TG AAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAACCAAGTI TTdt	AP CACTAITGAC GC .GC .GC .GCC .GCC .GCC .GCC .GCC .GCC .GCC .GCC .GCC	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 IGACAGA IGGACAGA IGGACAGA IGGACAGA IGGTTTTT. .GCCTGA .GCTTTT. .GCCTGA .GCTTTT. .GCGCA .GCTTTT. .GCGCA .GCTTT. .GCGCA .GCTT. .AC. .GCTT. .GCGCA. .GCTT. .GCGA. .GCTT.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6021 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 PBMC Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 SHPMC Goat4 B	AP-1 AML AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA/TGACACA/GCAA.TG.AAC C.ACC C.GCA C.ACC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATRAGAAAGCAGTT TT	AP CACTAITGAC G. 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAGG TGACACAT GCTTTTTT. CGCTGAG. C.TG. GCTTTTT. GCCGCTAG. C.TG. GCTTTT. GC.GCTAGA. C.TG. GCTTTT. GC.GCGAA. C.TG. GCTTT. GC.GCGAA. C.TG. GCTT. A-C.CGCA. G.CTG. GCTT. A-C.CGCA. G.CTG. GCTT. A-C.CGCA. G.C.TG. GCTT. A-C.CGCA. C.TG. GCTT. A-C.CGCA. C.TG. GCTT. A.CCGCA. C.TG.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 ZHG Goat3 L Goat3 JEMC Goat3 JEMC Goat3 CHP Goat4 DEMC Goat4 B Goat4 L Goat4 MC	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGA/TGACAC2/GCAA.TG AAC C. GAA C. GCAA C. GCAAA C. GCAAA C. GCAAA C. GCAAA C. GCAAAA C. GCAAA G. GCAAA G. GCAAAA G. GCAAAA G. GCAAAA G. GCAAAA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAGTT TT65 TT65 TT65 TT65 TT65 TT65 TT65 C	AP CACTATGAC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACCAGT TGTAACAGT TGACATT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 JSM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 B Goat4 SM	AP-1 AML (AAA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTAITGAC G . GG . GGG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAG-TG .CAA AA 	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGACAGA GCTACAGA GCTTTT. CCGCTGA C.TG
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat4 SM Goat4 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGATGACACA (GCAA. TG AAC C. GCA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTAFGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .CAA CAA CAA CAA CAA C.CAA AAA AAA AAAAAAAA	AP-1 AP-1 CEGACAGA GTGAACAGC TGACATA CGTTTTTTCGCTGAC.C.TG. GCTTTT.GC.GCTGAC.C.TG. GCTTT.GC.GCTACACC.C.G. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.AC.GGCA.AC.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AC.C.TG.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 60at2 SM Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 JEMC Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 B Goat4 MG Goat4 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 SM	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA/TGACACP/GCAA.TG_AAC C.ACC C.GCA C.ACC <tr< td=""><td>vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS</td><td>AP CACTATGAC </td><td>-1 AML (vis)¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C</td><td>AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACACA GCTTTTTT. CCGCTGAC C.TG. GCTTTT. GCCGCACA C.TG. GCTTTT. GC GCTACACA GCTTT. GC GCTACACA GCTTT. GC GCTA GCTT. AC GCACA GCTT. AC GCA GCTT. AC CGA <td< td=""></td<></td></tr<>	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS	AP CACTATGAC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACACA GCTTTTTT. CCGCTGAC C.TG. GCTTTT. GCCGCACA C.TG. GCTTTT. GC GCTACACA GCTTT. GC GCTACACA GCTTT. GC GCTA GCTT. AC GCACA GCTT. AC GCA GCTT. AC CGA GCTT. AC CGA <td< td=""></td<>
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 AS Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 AB Goat4 B Goat4 B Goat4 L Goat4 KM Goat4 CHP Goat5 L Goat5 SM	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACACAGCAA.TG AAC C.GOATGACACAGCAA.TG AAC C.GOATGACAGCAA.TG AAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATKAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTATGAC . GC . GG . GG . GGG . GGG	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAG-G-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACCAGT TGTAACAGG TGACATT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 L Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACACAGCAA.TGAAAC CAAAC C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TGAAAC CAAC C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TGAAAC CAAC C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TGAAAC CAAC C.GCATGACACAGCAA.TGAAAC CAAC C.GCATGACACAGCAAAC CAAC C.GCATGACACAGCAAAC CAAC C.GCATGACAAC CAAC <td>vis) AP 1 <math>GGGTTATRAGAAAGCAAGTI $TTGS$</math></td> <td>AP CACTAFGAC G </td> <td>-1 AML (vis)¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C</td> <td>AP-1 AP-1 GGACAGA TGACAGA TGCTTTTT. </td>	vis) AP 1 $GGGTTATRAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS$	AP CACTAFGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 GGACAGA TGACAGA TGCTTTTT.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 B Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat4 CHP Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 MG Goat5 SM Goat5 SM	AP-1 AML AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA/TGACACA GCAA.TG C.GCA GCAA C.GCA GCAA C.GCA GCAA C.GCA GCAA C.GCA GCAAA C.GCA GCAAA C.GCA GCAAAA C.GCA GCAAAAA C.GCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	$\begin{array}{c c} \textbf{vis} & \textbf{AP 1} \\ \textbf{gggTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTT} \\ \hline \textbf{TT} & \textbf{GS} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{TT} & \textbf{GC} & \dots & \textbf{CAT} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{TT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{GCAT} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{TT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{GCAT} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{TT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{GCAT} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{TT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \dots & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CC} & \textbf{CAS} & \dots \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & \textbf{CC} \\ \hline \textbf{CT} & $	AP CACTATGAC . GC . G	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 MG Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 AB Goat4 B Goat4 B Goat4 AM Goat4 SM Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 MG	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA TGACACA GCAA.TG AAC C.ACA C.GCA C.ACA C.GA C.ACA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTATGAC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 MG Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 PBMC Goat4 ASM Goat4 ASM Goat4 SM Goat4 SM Goat5 SM	AP-1 AML (AAA	$\begin{array}{c c} \textbf{vis} & \textbf{AP 1} \\ \textbf{GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTT} \\ \textbf{TTGS} \\ \textbf{C} & \textbf{CAT} \\ \textbf{TTGCGCAT} \\ \textbf{TTGCGCAT} \\ \textbf{TTGCGCAT} \\ \textbf{TTGCGCAT} \\ \textbf{TTGCGCAT} \\ \textbf{CTGCCAS} \\ \textbf{CTCCCAS} \\ CTCC$	AP CACTAITGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATCTA-ACCGCAGG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAGC TGCTTTTT. A.G. GCTTTTT. GCT GCTTT. GCT GCTTT. GCT GCTTT. GCT GCTTT. GCT. GCTTT. GCT. GCTT. GCT. GCTT. GCCA.AG GCT. GCA.AG GCTT. GCA.AG
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 B Goat4 L Goat4 MG Goat4 CHP Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 B Goat5 B Goat5 B Goat5 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGATGACACA GCAA. TG AAC C. GCA G. GCA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTAFGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGCTTTTT. A.G. GCTTTTT. CG GCTTTT. GC GCTTTT. GC GCTTTT. GC GCTTT. GC GCTT. GC GCTT. GC GCTT. GC GCTT. A.C. GCTT. A.C. <td< td=""></td<>
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 ABMC Goat4 ABMC Goat4 ABMC Goat4 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA/TGACACP/GCAA.TG_AAC TCACTGGG C.GCA/TGACACP/GCAA.TG_AAC TCACTGG C.GCA/TGACACP/GCAA.TG_AAC TCACTGGAC C.GCA/TGACACP/GCAA.TG_AAC TCACTGGAC C.GCA/TGACACP/GCAA.TGAC TCACTGGAC C.GCA/TGACACP/GCAAC TCACTGAC C.GCA/TGACACP/GCAAC </td <td>vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS</td> <td>AP CACTATGAC </td> <td>-1 AML (vis)¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C</td> <td>AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT GCTTTTTT. GCTTTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTT. GCTTT. GCTTT. GCTT. GCTA. GCTT. GCTT. GCTA. GCTA. GCTA. GCTA. GCTA.</td>	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS	AP CACTATGAC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT GCTTTTTT. GCTTTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTT. GCTTT. GCTTT. GCTT. GCTA. GCTT. GCTT. GCTA. GCTA. GCTA. GCTA. GCTA.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 PBMC Goat4 A Goat4 A Goat4 A Goat4 SM Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS	AP CACTAITGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGATGACACA GCAA. TG AAC C. GCA TGACTGGG C. GCA TGACTGGG C. GCA TGACTGGG C. GCA TGACTGGG C. GCA TGACTGG C. GCA TGACTGG C. GCA TGACTGG C. GCA TGACTGGA C. GCA TGACTGACACA C. GCA TGACACA C. GCA TGACACA G. GCA TGACACA G. GCA TGACACA G. GCA TGACACACA G. GCA TGACACACACA G. GCA TGACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTAITGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 GGACAGA TGACAGA TGCTTTTT.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 CHP Goat4 SM Goat4 SM Goat4 BBMC Goat4 BBMC Goat4 A Goat4 L Goat5 AG Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 L Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP	AP-1 AML AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGATGACACA GCAA.TG C.GCA GCAACA C.GCA GCAACACA C.GCA GCAACACACA C.GCA GCAACACACACA C.GCACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATRAGAAAGCAGTT TTGG	AP CACTATGAC 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAATGTA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT GCTTTTTTT. GCTTTTTTT. GCTTTT.GC.GCTGAG.C.TG GCTTTT.GC.GCTGAA.C.TG GCTTTT.GC.GCGA.AG.C.TG GCTTT.A-C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A-C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.CCGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.AG.C.TG GCTT.A.C.CGGA.A
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 CHP Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 AG Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM	AP-1 AML (AAATCACTTGGG	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS	AP CACTAITGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATT GCTTTTTT. GCTTTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTTT. GCTTT. GCTTT. GCTT. GCTT. <
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHPC Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 B Goat4 AB Goat4 ASM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat7 L Goat7 L	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGATGACACA GCAA. TG AAC C. GCA G. GCA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAACCAAGTI TT	AP CACTAITGAC G 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CEGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACATA CTTTTTC.GCTGAACAG TGACATA CCTTTT.GC.GCTGAACAG C.TG. GCTTTT.GC.GCTAACAG C.TG. GCTTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.C.CGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.ACGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.CCGCA.AG.C.TG. GCTT.A.CCGCA.A
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 JSM Goat3 JSM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 B Goat4 L Goat4 AM Goat4 CHP Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 S M Goat5 B Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CH Goat6 CH Goat6 SM Goat7 L Goat7 B Goat7 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA- TCACTTGGG C. GGATGACACA GCAA. TG AAC C	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTATGAC G. 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CGACAGA GTGAACAG (TGACAT)
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 A Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 AB Goat4 CHP Goat5 L Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SPBMC Goat5 SM Goat5 SHO Goat5 SHO Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat7 CHP Goat7 CHP Goat7 CHP	AP-1 AML AAA- TCACTTGGG C.GGA GCACACA C.GCA GCACACACA C.GCACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATRAGAAAGCAGTT TTGS	AP CACTATGAC . GC . G	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CGACAGA GTGAACAG (TGACAT) CTTTTTTT. CGCTGAA (C.TGA) CGCTTTT.GC.GCTGAA (C.TG) GCTTTT.GC.GCTAA (C.TG) GCTTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A-C.GGCA.A (C.TG) GCTT.A.CGCA.A (C.TG)
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat3 SM Goat4 PBMC Goat4 PBMC Goat4 ASM Goat4 ASM Goat4 SM Goat4 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat6 L Goat6 AS Goat6 AS Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat6 SM Goat7 CHP Goat7 CHP	AP-1 AML (AAA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TTGS	AP CACTATGAC G. 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CEGACAGA GTGAACAGA TGACATA CGTTTT. CGCTTTT. CGCTTT. CGCTT. CGCTT. CGCTACAGA C. CGTT. CGCTACAGA C. CGTT. CGCTA. CGTT. CGCTA. CGTT. CGCTA. CGTT. CGCA. GCTT.
Cork 496 Kv1772 697 A3 6221 A4 Goat2 SM Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat2 CHP Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 L Goat3 SM Goat3 CHP Goat4 A Goat4 A Goat4 A Goat4 A Goat4 A Goat4 CHP Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 SM Goat5 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat6 CHP Goat7 B Goat7 B Goat7 CHP Goat7 CHP Goat7 CHP Goat7 SM	AP-1 AML (C. GGATGACACA GCAA. TG AAC C. GCATGACACA GCAA. TG AAC C. GCATGACACACA GCAA. TG AAC G. GCATGACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACA	vis) AP 1 GGGTTATEAGAAAGCAAGTI TT	AP CACTATGAC G. 	-1 AML (vis) ¹ AAAGCAAAAT (TA-ACCGCAAG-TG .C	AP-1 AP-1 CFGACAGA TGTAACAG TGACAGA TGCTTTTT.

Figure 7. Cont.

	AP-4	AP-1	AML (vis) ²		TATA-bo	x U3 R
Cork	TCAGCTGATG	TT-GCTCA	IG-CTGAC	ACTGTAGCTCT	GAGCTG	ТАТАТАА	GGAGAAGCTT-GCTGCTTGCACTTCAGAGT-TCTAGGAGAGTCCCTCCT
496				TG	AAC		.T.AGT
697 A3	дс	Δ.C.	AA.C.CA		CTGCC		ACCCTGC T TACTGA A GGG C CT AA
6221 A4	A		.AA.C.CA	.GAACAA	.CTGCC		CAGCTGG.T.TGTTGG.AGAGCTCA
Goat2 SM			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAACGCT.C.ATG-AAGGG.
Goat2 MG		A.G	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAA C
Goat2 CHP		A.G	AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	CC.CTTGC.TGACAACGCT.C.ATG-AAGG.
Goat2 L			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GIGCO	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAACGCT.C.ATG-AAGG.
Goat3 L			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	. GTGCC	A	. CC . CTTGC . T GACAG . AC G T T . C
Goat3PBMC		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGTT.C
Goat3MG		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGTT.C
Goat3 CHP		AAG	AA.C.CA	. Т ААСАА Т ААСАА	GTGCC	A A	CC CTTGC T GACAG AC G T T C
Goat4PBMC			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAA.ACGCT.CTG-AAGG.
Goat4 B	G.		.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAA. AC G C T. C TA-AAGG
Goat4 L	C		.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	. CC . CTTGC . T
Goat4 MG		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	. GTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAA. AC T. C. ATG-CRGG
Goat4 CHP		AAG	. AA. C. CA	. T AACAA	CCTGCC	· · · · A · ·	CT. CTTGC. A., C. GGA. AGAG CGCT. G
Goat5 L		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAA.ACGCT.C.ATG-AAGG.
Goat5 SM		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAA.GCGCT.C.TTG-AAGG
Goat5 MG	G	AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	AGTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAG. AC G C T. C. TTAGCAAGAG
Goat5 MG	G	AAG	AA.C.CA	.ТААСАА Т дасада	.GIGCO	A A	CC CTTGC T GACAG ACG
Goat5 B	G		.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGCT.C.TTAGCAAGAG
Goat5 CHP			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAAA	AGTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAG. AC G C T. C. TTAGCAAGAG
Goat6 CHP		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAACGCT.C.ATA-AAGG
Goat6 L		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAG. AC
Goat6 MG		AAG	AA.C.CA	.ТААСАА Т дасаа	GTGCC	A A	CC.CTTGC.TGACAA.ACGCT.C.ATG-AAGG
Goat6 SM			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAA.ACGCT.C.ATG-AAGG.
Goat7 L			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	. GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGCT.C.ATA-AAGG
Goat7 B		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	.GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGCT.C.ATA-AAGG
Goat7 CHP		AAG	.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	. GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGCT.C.ATA-AAGG.
Goat 7 MG		AAG	. AA. C. CA	.T AACAA	.GTGCC	A	. CC. CTTGC. T GACAG. AC G C T. C. ATA-AAGG
Goat7 SM			.AA.C.CA	.TAACAA	GTGCC	A	.CC.CTTGC.TGACAG.ACGCT.C.ATA-AAGG.
Cork	AGTCTCT (CTCTCCGA	GGAGGTAC	CGAGACCTCAA	POLYA	GAGTGA	R US TTGCCTTACTGCCGAGCGGCCGGTGTATCGGGGAGTCGTCCCTTAATCTGTG
496	G			C			
Kv1772	CGGG.	TG	CCT.CCTG	AA.AG.TC		TG	GCTGA.ATACTT.C.TTATTTAA.TC
697 A3	GAGGGAG	GTG	CCT.TGCT	GC.TC		TG	ACTGAGAGACTA.C.TTATGCTAA.TC
6221 A4	TACTCGGG1	rTG	CCT.CACT.	AAGACITC		· · · · · · C	ACTGACA A-CTCTTATATATATATATATATATATATATATATATATA
Goat2 MG	TACTCGAG.		CCT.C-CT	GAT		T.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTATTTAA.TC
Goat2 CHP	TACTCY.GAG.	TG	CCT.C-TT	GACA.Y		т.	ACTGAGAA.CTC.TTATTTAA.TC
Goat2PBMC	TACTCGAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GAT		C.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTATTTAA.TC
Goat2 L	TACTCGAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GAT		C.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTATTTAA.TC
Goat3PBMC	TACTC -GAG.	IG ТС	ССІ.С-СІ ССТ С-СТ	GA G A		· · · · · C ·	ACTGTGA A - CT C T TAT GTC TA A T C
Goat 3MG	TACTCGAG.		CCT.C-CT	GA		c.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTTAA.TC
Goat3 SM	TACTCTAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GA		c.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTTAA.TC
Goat3 CHP	TACTCGAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GA		C.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTTAA.TC
Goat4 PBMC	TACTCGAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	G.T. A		C.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTAT.CGTTAA.TC
Goat4 L	TACTCGAG.		CCT.C-CT	G.KA		c.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTAT.CGTTAA.TC
Goat4 MG	TACTCGAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	G.TA		c.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTAT.CGTTAA.TC
Goat4 SM	TACTCGAG.	TG	CCT.C-TT	G.GAA		C.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTATGTTAA.TC
Goat4 CHP	TAGTC.CTTG.	TG	CCT.C-TT	GAA	AGG.	.TTTG	ATCAT A CTA.T.T.T TAT
Goats SM	TACICGAG.	IG ТС	ССІ.С-ІІ ССТ С-СТ	G		· · · · · C ·	ACTGTGA A - CT C T TAT GT TA A T C
Goat5 MG	TACTCT.GAG.		CCT.C-CT	GA.A		c.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTTAA.TC
Goat5PBMC	GACTCT.GAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GA		c.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTCTAA.TC
Goat5 MG	GACTCT.GAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GA		c.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTCTAA.TC
Goats B	GACTCT.GAG.	TG	CCT.C-CT	GA.A		c.	ACTGTGAACTC.TTATGTCTAA.TC
Goat6 CHP	GACICI.GAG.	IG	CCT.C-CT	G.T. A		Lc.	
Goat6 L	TACTC. GAG	117	UUI.U=UI				ACIGAGA
	TACTCGAG.		CCT.C-CT	G.TA		c.	ACTGAGA A CT C.T TAT GT TA A.T C
Goat6 MG	TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG.		CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT	G.TA GC	 	c.	ACTGAGAACTC.TTATGTTA.A.T.C AC.GAGAACTC.TTATGTTA.A.T.C
Goat6 MG Goat6PBMC	TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG.	TG TG TG	CCT.C-CT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT	G.TA GC GM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	c. c.	ACTGAGA A - CT . C. T TAT
Goat6 MG Goat6PBMC Goat6 SM Goat7 T.	TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG.	TG TG TG TG	CCT.C-CT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT	G.TA GC GM G.GAA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	c. c. c.	ACTGAGA A - CT . C. T TAT
Goat6 MG Goat6PBMC Goat6 SM Goat7 L Goat7 B	TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCGAG. TACTCT.GAG.		CCT . C - CT CCT . C - TT CCT . C - TT CCT . C - TT CCT . C - TT CCT . C - CT	G.TA GC G.GA G.GA GA GA		c. c. c. c. c.	ACTGAGA. ACT. C.T. TAT. GT. TA. A.T. C.
Goat6 MG Goat6PBMC Goat6 SM Goat7 L Goat7 B Goat7 CHP	TACTC. GAG. TACTC. GAG. TACTC. GAG. TACTC. GAG. TACTC. GAG. TACTCT. GAG. TACTCT. GAG.		CCT.C-CT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT	G.TA GC G.GAA GA GA GA GA			ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T C.
Goat6 MG Goat6PBMC Goat6 SM Goat7 L Goat7 B Goat7 CHP Goat7PBMC	TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTCT. GAG TACTCT. GAG TACTCT. GAG	TG TG TG TG TG TG TG TG TG	CCT.C-CT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT	G.T A G		c. c. c. c. c.	ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT C. T TAT GT TA A. T. C.
Goat6 MG Goat6PBMC Goat6 SM Goat7 L Goat7 B Goat7 CHP Goat7PBMC Goat7 SM	TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTC. GAG TACTCT. GAG TACTCT. GAG TACTCT. GAG TACTCT. GAG		CCT.C-CT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-TT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT CCT.C-CT	G.T.A G.M.A G.G.AA G.A G.A G.A G.A G.A G.A G.A			ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C. ACTGAGA A - CT. C. T. TAT. GT. TA. A. T. C.

Figure 7. Alignment of U3-R sequences of LTR region from Polish SRLV strains. Sequences are aligned against prototype strains K1514 and Cork representative of SRLV groups A and B, respectively. Dots indicate identity with Cork, and dashes represent gaps. Boundaries between U3, R and U5 are indicated by straight arrows. AP-1, AP-4, AML (vis) motifs, TATA box, GAS, TAS and polyadenylation signal (poly A) are marked by boxes. Gray boxes represent CAAAT sequences. PBMC—Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, L—Lung, SM—Synovial membrane, B—Brain, CHP—Choroid plexus, MG—Mammary gland.

3.5. Provirus Detection and Quantification

The proviral load in the blood and different organs of infected animals was quantified by real-time PCR using primers and probes specifically designed based on the gag gene sequences of SRLVs described in this study. The number of provirus copies was determined by comparing the Ct values obtained by amplifying gag fragment in a particular sample to the standard curve generated after amplification of scale-down dilutions of plasmid DNA containing the targeted region. A new standard curve was generated for every experiment, showing a mean efficiency value close to 100% and a mean R-value of R = 0.998. The provirus copy numbers differed between the animals and the tissue analyzed (Figure 8). The highest proviral load was detected in the synovial membrane of goat 7 (4618 copies per 500 ng of genomic DNA). In goats 2, 3, 4 and 7, the highest proviral load was found in the synovial membrane cells. The copy number detected in these samples ranged from 47 to 3466. In goats 6 and 5, the highest proviral load was found in the lung and choroid plexus, respectively. Brain samples were positive only in four out of six goats and showed very low copy numbers (>4 copies per 500 ng of genomic DNA). The proviral load (>8 copies per 500 ng of genomic DNA) detected in the choroid plexus also was very low in 4 out of 6 analyzed goats, while in goats 5 and 7, the number of SRLV provirus copies detected in this tissue was 2233 and 65, respectively. In the mammary gland, PBMC and lung samples, the SRLV copy number varied from 44 to 351, from 9 to 1158 and from 9 to 820, respectively.



Figure 8. Cont.



Figure 8. Quantification of SRLV proviral load in different organs and cells of goats analyzed in this study. PBMC—Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, L—Lung, SM—Synovial membrane, B—Brain, CHP—Choroid plexus, MG—Mammary gland. Mean value of SRLV proviral copy number is shown above the bar.

4. Discussion

In this study, we revealed, for the first time, the *gag*, LTR and *env* sequences of SRLVs detected in Polish goats with clinical signs of arthritis and determined their phylogenetic relationship in the context of known SRLV sequences. In addition, we examined the potential association between the degree of histopathological changes and provirus concentration in different organs of the infected goats.

All sequences obtained from the goats involved in this study were homogeneous, showing an average degree of variability of 4.8%, 3.7% and 8.8% for the *gag*, LTR and *env* sequences, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis on the basis of the *gag* and *env* sequences revealed that the sequences from the analyzed goats clustered within SRLV group A and formed a new subtype within this group, tentatively named A27. The SRLVs isolated until now from asymptomatic sheep and goats in Poland belonged to the well-known subtypes B1, B2, A1, A5 and A16, as well as subtypes A12, A13, A17, A18, A23 and A24, detected only in Poland [8,28–31]. The sequences obtained in this study were grouped in a cluster with a significant divergence from the other strains isolated from goats and sheep from Poland. The sequences were closely related to sequences belonging to subtype A13, which was previously detected only in sheep from Poland, suggesting their common origin. It was not known if the examined goats had contact with sheep, but infection with A subtypes in goats was reported [9,27], also in Poland, where the circulation of subtypes A12, A17, A18, A17, A18, A23 [8,28,29,31] was detected in goats.

Indirect serological assays usually use the capsid protein as the antigen, so analysis of these sequences is useful for detecting antigenic variability, which may affect the sensitivity of serological tests. The primers used in this study allowed the sequencing of two major immunodominant epitopes identified by Rosati et al. [32] and the major homology region (MHR). Immunodominant epitope 2 was fully conserved in all sequences originating from different tissues of all goats, and only minor variations were found in sequences of epitope 3. This high conservation is important for the maintenance of cross-reactivity in serological tests based on Gag-derived antigen [17,33]. More alterations were observed in the major homology region (MHR), suggesting that this region is quite variable. Therefore, our results are in line with other studies demonstrating the variability of the MHR region [8,34]. No tissue-specific signature pattern was identified in the *gag* sequences. Most of the substitutions were specific to each animal, suggesting that these changes could have arisen as a result of long-term host–virus adaptation and evolution.

The *env* as sequences obtained from the analyzed goats were more heterogeneous. Most amino acid substitution was observed in variable region V4, especially in highly variable region HV2, previously proposed to be part of a variable, conformational neutralization epitope [35,36]. It is proposed that the V4 region forms a highly constrained and surface-exposed domain and that a cysteine loop may have an analogous function to the V3 domain of HIV-1. Recently, a 'signature pattern' related to the SRLV genotype and different clinical statuses in sheep and goats was found in the V4 region. Possible signature patterns were detected at positions 54, 78, 79 and 80 in the sequence loop of the V4 region. However, the most important statistical significance was found at position 54, where residue N occurred only in sequences derived from arthritic animals infected with genotype B, while residue T and G occurred only in sequences derived from asymptomatic animals infected with genotype A and B, respectively [37]. Our results showed the presence of residue T at position 54 in all sequences derived from the different tissues of the analyzed goats. This may suggest that this position is not associated with clinical signs but may be associated with SRLV genotypes as all sequences with residue T at position 54 are derived only from animals infected with genotype A. We did not find a tissue-specific signature pattern in the *env* sequences. However, we were unable to obtain all sequences derived from the different tissues of the analyzed goats. PCR amplification detected env proviral DNA mostly in synovial membrane tissues, whereas samples from other tissues yielded limited positive results. This indicates a high variability of *env* sequences in different tissues, which may arise from compartmentalization and rapid sequence evolution during SRLV infection [18,19]. A study on SRLV compartmentalization revealed the presence of different sequences in the blood, lungs, mammary glands, central nervous system and colostrum [18–20]. Our study revealed limited genetic variability within the host. Only the sequence originating from the choroid plexus of goat 5 differed from the sequences originating from the other tissues of this goat as well as sequences originating from other goats. Moreover, the fact that the highest SRLV proviral load and moderate lesions were only observed in the choroid plexus of this goat may suggest that *env* sequences may be involved in tissue tropism, viral compartmentalization and pathology.

The U3 region of lentiviruses contains promoter sequences and transcription factor binding sites important for virus transcription and replication. It was demonstrated that variability in the LTR sequence is associated with tissue tropism and disease outcomes in a number of retroviruses [13,38,39]. However, in this study, we did not identify any tissuespecific motifs. Sequences isolated from the same tissue in different goats did not aggregate into a phylogenetic cluster. Our results revealed a rather coherent grouping of sequences obtained from different anatomical compartments of the same animals, indicating that the LTR sequences are not good predictors of viral tissue tropism. Sequences corresponding to the TATA box, AP-4, AML (vis) and polyadenylation signal (poly A) were conserved among all sequences derived from different tissues of the analyzed goats. Furthermore, our results revealed that almost all sequences derived from different tissues of the analyzed goats had a unique T to A substitution in the fifth position of the TATA box. The same mutation was also noted for Polish strains belonging to subtype A17 [8], but the meaning of this mutation is unknown. More alterations were observed in sequences of AP-1 sites. Additionally, GAS and TAS response elements for some cytokines were identified in the U3 region of all sequences. It was demonstrated that the pro-inflammatory cytokines, IFN- γ and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , which are increased in goats with arthritis, activate viral transcription through the GAS and TAS sites [40]. In our study, GAS was identical in all sequences derived from the goats. These findings are compatible with previous studies showing absolute conservation of the GAS region in 40 of 41 viral promoter sequences, suggesting that this motif is important for viral pathogenesis [16]. The TAS region was less conserved; however, sequences originating from different tissues of the same animal were very homologous. Generally, our results are consistent with previous studies demonstrating only minimal variation in U3 sequences derived from different anatomical sites of a single host and that the TAS and AP-1 sites are the least conserved transcriptional features of the U3 region [10,15,16,41].

Previous studies also showed that duplication in the LTR U3 region of Icelandic neurological strains is a determinant of cell tropism. It was demonstrated that deletion

in the duplicated sequence in this region caused deficient virus replication in choroid plexus cells, indicating that this duplication may be associated with neurovirulence [13]. Our results revealed the absence of 10-nt and 21-nt insertions in the U3 region of all sequences originating from the goats analyzed in this study, insertions that were present only in the Kv1772 strain. The lack of a 21-nt repeat resulted in a reduction of potential transcription factor binding sites (AP-1 and AML(vis)) and the CAAAT sequence located in this repeat. No putative transcription factor binding domains were identified within the 10-nt deletion. However, the presence of these two deletions was also shown in attenuated strain 6221 as well as in neuropathogenic strain 697, suggesting that these insertions are not related to a particular host tropism. Furthermore, our results revealed that the sequence derived from the choroid plexus of goat 4 had a 12-nt deletion in the R region, while all sequences from different tissues of goat 3 had a 9-nt deletion in this track. This deletion was previously described by Angelopoulos et al. [41], who suggested that the presence of this specific deletion may be associated with lower pathogenicity of SRLV strains. However, no substantial difference was found in the LTR region of small ruminants with and without clinical signs of disease. Our results revealed that a similar deletion was present in sequences of attenuated strain 6221 and strain 697 isolated from sheep from a neurological outbreak. Moreover, this deletion was found previously in the R region of the Polish strains isolated from clinically healthy sheep and goats [8] and clinically affected sheep from Spain [26], suggesting that factors besides this deletion are involved in avoiding the appearance of clinical symptoms. Generally, all of these observations are in line with the observations of Blatti-Cardinaux et al., who indicated that the role of LTR in tissue tropism is still unclear, suggesting that other viral sequences might be involved in tissue tropism and pathology [42].

SRLV proviral DNA was detected in all examined goats confirming the persistent nature of SRLV infection. In four out of six goats, the highest proviral load was found in the synovial membrane cells, confirming the tropism of isolated viruses to the joints. The relatively high proviral load was also observed in the mammary glands of all goats and the lungs of three goats. An increased proviral load in these affected organs was expected since they are the primary target organs of SRLVs and are directly involved in the efficient transmission of SRLVs through the colostrum, milk and respiratory exudates [43,44]. Several types of cells in the mammary glands, including macrophages and epithelial cells, harbor the virus playing an important role in its transmission to offspring. Additionally, SRLVs belonging to genotypes A4 and E1, which express low pathogenicity for goats, were abundantly presented in the mammary glands, confirming that lactogenic transmission is the main route of infection of SRLVs in this animal species [43,44]. It was also shown that SRLV subtype A is more efficiently transmitted to offspring, suggesting that A group-derived subtypes are particularly efficient in lactogenic transmission [45]. The lowest proviral load was detected in DNA extracted from the choroid plexus and brain cells, suggesting poor neurotropism of these viruses. The highest proviral load was only found in the choroid plexus cells of goat 5; however, no neurological symptoms were observed. High proviral loads in the absence of overt clinical signs in the infected animals are similar to the situation observed in simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)-infected natural hosts and are probably related to the long coevolution between this lentivirus and their respective hosts [43,46]. Studies on neurovirulent variants of SIV showed that macrophage tropism is not sufficient for neurological disease [46].

Many studies describe a phylogenetic analysis of SRLVs, but only a few of them describe the histopathological lesions observed in the target organs of the infected goats/ sheep [6,34,41,43,47]. The pathogenesis of the SRLV strains found in arthritic goats in Poland was not characterized in any case. The histopathological examination of the lungs, mammary glands, synovial membranes of joints and brain of the analyzed goats revealed evidence of inflammatory processes associated with SRLV infection, which was confirmed by positive immunohistochemistry assays, amplification and sequencing. It was shown that animals with a higher proviral load showed more lesion severity in various SRLV-affected

tissues, indicating that the proviral load is positively correlated with the presence and severity of clinical disease symptoms [6,7]. Therefore, the goal of this work was to examine SRLVs' target organs for their proviral load and the potential presence of histopathological lesions. Comparative analysis of the distribution of lesions according to the lesion degree and proviral load performed in this study indicated a positive association between these two parameters. This was most clearly seen in the case of the synovial membrane and brain tissues. The more severe lesions were observed in the synovial membrane of three goats, which indeed showed very high proviral loads. Furthermore, moderate lymphoplasmacytic infiltration within the choroid plexus and focal perivascular cuffings with mild gliosis was only observed in two goats, which showed higher proviral loads. Our results also revealed differences in the SRLV loads between animals even though the sequences derived from all of the goats were closely related. Goats 3, 4 and 6 had relatively lower proviral loads in all tissue samples, while goats 2, 5 and 7 had higher overall proviral loads. This different reactivity to SRLV infection suggests that host factors may restrict and control viral replication. We may speculate that goats with a lower proviral load mounted an immune response that was more efficient, which resulted in a reduced viral load. Several works identified immune response loci that could influence resistance/susceptibility to SRLV infection and disease, providing evidence that genetic factors might modulate the outcome [48–56]. It was demonstrated that host control of infection with SRLVs, including the provirus level, may have a genetic basis. Molecular studies in humans infected with HIV pointed to the role of SNPs in the Toll-like receptor (TLR) gene, especially in TLR7, and their association with viral load. In infection with SRLVs, it was also demonstrated that some polymorphisms were significantly associated with the SRLV provirus concentration. The obtained results suggest that SNPs of TLR7/8 genes may induce differential innate immune responses toward SRLVs, affecting the proviral concentration and, thereby, disease progression [57].

In conclusion, this is the first study investigating the association between the SRLV sequences analysis and histopathological lesions observed in different tissues of clinically affected goats from Poland. No significant correlation was observed between histological features and alterations in the sequences from different tissues. No tissue-specific signature pattern was identified. It was shown that the provirus load is positively correlated with lesion severity in various SRLV-affected tissues. The results of the phylogenetic analysis revealed the existence of a putative new subtype which should lead to the consideration of an update of the current SRLV classification. The A27 subtype in goats induces histopathological changes that are typical of SRLVs in general and contribute toward ongoing interspecies transmission and viral persistence. Our results indicated that the relationship between small ruminants and SRLVs is complex and that the severity of infection varies depending on the viral strain and the genetic background of the host. More extensive studies, including the isolation and characterization of the biological properties of these viruses, should be performed to evaluate their pathogenic potential.

The findings of this study have to be seen in light of some limitations. The number of tested animals was small (n = 6); all goats originated from the same flock and all animals were infected with the same SRLV subtype (A27). Furthermore, a limitation of this study is undoubtedly a lack of all *env* gene sequences.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/v14040735/s1, Figure S1: Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of CA fragment of gag gene; Figure S2: Alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of the immunodominant epitope of ENV protein and variable region V4 of the SRLVs obtained in this study and reference strains representing different subtypes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.O. and J.K.; methodology, M.O. and A.K.; formal analysis, M.O.; investigation, M.O. and A.K.; resources, M.O. and J.K.; writing—original draft preparation, M.O.; writing—review and editing, M.O., A.K. and J.K.; visualization, M.O. and A.K.;

supervision, M.O.; funding acquisition, M.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study was funded by the National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI), Pulawy, Poland, within the "NVRI Research Fund" awarded to project no. F/104.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Specifically, blood collection was approved (no. 37/2016) by the Local Ethical Committee on Animal Testing at the University of Life Sciences in Lublin (Poland).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data generated and analyzed in this study are included in this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Blacklaws, B.A. Small ruminant lentiviruses: Immunopathogenesis of visna-maedi and caprine arthritis and encephalitis virus. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *35*, 259–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Narayan, O.; Wolinsky, J.S.; Clements, J.E.; Strandberg, J.D.; Grin, D.E.; Cork, L.C. Slow virus replication: The role of macrophages in the persistence and expression of visna viruses of sheep and goats. J. Gen. Virol. 1982, 59, 345–356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Ryan, S.; Tiley, L.; McConnell, I.; Blacklaws, B. Infection of dendritic cells by the Maedi-Visna lentivirus. *J. Virol.* 2000, 74, 10096–10103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Heaton, M.P.; Clawson, M.L.; Chitko-Mckown, C.G.; Leymaster, K.A.; Smith, T.P.; Harhay, G.P.; White, S.N.; Herrmann-Hoesing, L.M.; Mousel, M.R.; Lewis, G.S.; et al. Reduced lentivirus susceptibility in sheep with TMEM154 mutations. *PLoS Genet.* 2012, *8*, e1002467. [CrossRef]
- 5. Sider, L.H.; Heaton, M.P.; Chitko-McKown, C.G.; Harhay, G.P.; Smith, T.P.; Leymaster, K.A.; Laegreid, W.W.; Clawson, M.L. Small ruminant lentivirus genetic subgroups associate with sheep TMEM154 genotypes. *Vet. Res.* **2013**, *44*, 64. [CrossRef]
- Ravazzolo, A.P.; Nenci, C.; Vogt, H.R.; Waldvogel, A.; Obexer-Ruff, G.; Peterhans, E.; Bertoni, G. Viral load, organ distribution, histopathological lesions, and cytokine mRNA expression in goats infected with a molecular clone of the caprine arthritis encephalitis virus. *Virology* 2006, 350, 116–127. [CrossRef]
- Herrmann-Hoesing, L.M.; Noh, S.M.; White, S.N.; Snekvik, K.R.; Truscott, T.; Knowles, D.P. Peripheral ovine progressive pneumonia provirus levels correlate with and predict histological tissue lesion severity in naturally infected sheep. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 2009, 16, 551–557. [CrossRef]
- Olech, M.; Kuźmak, J. Molecular Characterization of Small Ruminant Lentiviruses in Polish Mixed Flocks Supports Evidence of Cross Species Transmission, Dual Infection, a Recombination Event, and Reveals the Existence of New Subtypes within Group A. Viruses 2021, 13, 2529. [CrossRef]
- 9. Bazzucchi, M.; Pierini, I.; Gobbi, P.; Pirani, S.; Torresi, C.; Iscaro, C.; Feliziani, F.; Giammarioli, M. Genomic Epidemiology and Heterogeneity of SRLV in Italy from 1998 to 2019. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 2338. [CrossRef]
- Gomez-Lucia, E.; Rowe, J.; Collar, C.; Murphy, B. Diversity of caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus promoters isolated from goat milk and passaged in vitro. *Vet. J.* 2013, 196, 431–438. [CrossRef]
- 11. Gomez-Lucia, E.; Barquero, N.; Domenech, A. Maedi-Visna virus: Current perspectives. Vet. Med. 2018, 9, 11–21. [CrossRef]
- 12. Angelopoulou, K.; Brellou, G.D.; Greenland, T.; Vlemmas, I. A novel deletion in the LTR region of a Greek small ruminant lentivirus may be associated with low pathogenicity. *Virus Res.* **2006**, *118*, 178–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oskarsson, T.; Hreggvidsdottir, H.S.; Agnarsdottir, G.; Matthiasdottir, S.; Ogmundsdottir, M.H.; Jónsson, S.R.; Georgsson, G.; Ingvarsson, S.; Andrésson, O.S.; Andrésdóttir, V. Duplicated sequence motif in the long terminal repeat of maedi-visna virus extends cell tropism and is associated with neurovirulence. *J. Virol.* 2007, *81*, 4052–4057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Agnarsdóttir, G.; Thorsteinsdóttir, H.; Óskarsson, T.; Matthíasdóttir, S.; St Haflidadóttir, B.; Andrésson, Ó.S.; Andrésdóttir, V. The long terminal repeat is a determinant of cell tropism of maedi-visna virus. J. Gen. Virol. 2000, 81, 1901–1905. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Adedeji, A.O.; Barr, B.; Gomez-Lucia, E.; Murphy, B. A polytropic caprine arthritis encephalitis virus promoter isolated from multiple tissues from a sheep with multisystemic lentivirus-associated inflammatory disease. *Viruses* 2013, *5*, 2005–2018. [CrossRef]
- Murphy, B.; McElliott, V.; Vapniarsky, N.; Oliver, A.; Rowe, J. Tissue tropism and promoter sequence variation in caprine arthritis encephalitis virus infected goats. *Virus Res.* 2010, 151, 177–184. [CrossRef]
- 17. Valas, S.; Benoit, C.; Baudry, C.; Perrin, G.; Mamoun, R.Z. Variability and immunogenicity of caprine arthritis encephalitis virus surface glycoprotein. *J. Virol.* **2000**, *74*, 6178–6185. [CrossRef]
- Ramírez, H.; Reina, R.; Bertolotti, L.; Cenoz, A.; Hernández, M.M.; San Román, B.; Glaria, I.; de Andrés, X.; Crespo, H.; Jáuregui, P.; et al. Study of compartmentalization in the visna clinical form of small ruminant lentivirus infection in sheep. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2012, *8*, 8. [CrossRef]
- Pisoni, G.; Moroni, P.; Turin, L.; Bertoni, G. Compartmentalization of small ruminant lentivirus between blood and colostrum in infected goats. *Virology* 2007, 369, 119–130. [CrossRef]

- 20. Olech, M.; Kuźmak, J. Compartmentalization of Subtype A17 of Small Ruminant Lentiviruses between Blood and Colostrum in Infected Goats Is Not Exclusively Associated to the env Gene. *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, 270. [CrossRef]
- Cheevers, W.P.; Snekvik, K.R.; Trujillo, J.D.; Kumpula-McWhirter, N.M.; Top, K.P.O.; Knowles, D.P. Prime-boost vaccination with plasmid DNA encoding caprine-arthritis encephalitis lentivirus env and viral SU suppresses challenge virus and development of arthritis. *Virology* 2003, 306, 116–125. [CrossRef]
- Tamura, K.; Stecher, G.; Peterson, D.; Filipski, A.; Kumar, S. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2013, 30, 2725–2729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Colitti, B.; Coradduzza, E.; Puggioni, G.; Capucchio, M.T.; Reina, R.; Bertolotti, L.; Rosati, S. A new approach for Small Ruminant Lentivirus full genome characterization revealed the circulation of divergent strains. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0212585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Hess, J.L.; Pyper, J.M.; Clements, J.E. Nucleotide sequence and transcriptional activity of the caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus long terminal repeat. *J. Virol.* **1986**, *60*, 385–393. [CrossRef]
- Glaria, I.; Reina, R.; Ramírez, H.; de Andrés, X.; Crespo, H.; Jauregui, P.; Salazar, E.; Luján, L.; Pérez, M.M.; Benavides, J.; et al. Visna/Maedi virus genetic characterization and serological diagnosis of infection in sheep from a neurological outbreak. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2012, 155, 137–146. [CrossRef]
- Glaria, I.; Reina, R.; Crespo, H.; de Andrés, X.; Ramírez, H.; Biescas, E.; Pérez, M.M.; Badiola, J.; Luján, L.; Amorena, B.; et al. Phylogenetic analysis of SRLV sequences from an arthritic sheep outbreak demonstrates the introduction of CAEV-like viruses among Spanish sheep. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2009, 138, 156–162. [CrossRef]
- Cardinaux, L.; Zahno, M.L.; Deubelbeiss, M.; Zanoni, R.; Vogt, H.R.; Bertoni, G. Virological and phylogenetic characterization of attenuated small ruminant lentivirus isolates eluding eluding efficient serological detection. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2013, 162, 572–581. [CrossRef]
- Olech, M.; Rachid, A.; Croisé, B.; Kuźmak, J.; Valas, S. Genetic and antigenic characterization of small ruminant lentiviruses circulating in Poland. *Virus Res.* 2012, 163, 528–536. [CrossRef]
- 29. Olech, M.; Valas, S.; Kuźmak, J. Epidemiological survey in single-species flocks from Poland reveals expanded genetic and antigenic diversity of small ruminant lentiviruses. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e193892. [CrossRef]
- Olech, M.; Murawski, M.; Kuźmak, J. Molecular analysis of small-ruminant lentiviruses in Polish flocks reveals the existence of a novel subtype in sheep. Arch. Virol. 2019, 164, 1193–1198. [CrossRef]
- 31. Olech, M.; Kuźmak, J. Molecular Characterization of Small Ruminant Lentiviruses of Subtype A5 Detected in Naturally Infected but Clinically Healthy Goats of Carpathian Breed. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 992. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Rosati, S.; Mannelli, A.; Merlo, T.; Ponti, N. Characterization of the immunodominant cross-reacting epitope of visna maedi virus and caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus capsid antigen. *Virus Res.* **1999**, *61*, 177–183. [CrossRef]
- Grego, E.; Profiti, M.; Giammarioli, M.; Giannino, L.; Rutili, D.; Woodall, C.; Rosati, S. Genetic heterogeneity of small ruminant lentiviruses involves immunodominant epitope of capsid antigen and affects sensitivity of single-strain-based immunoassay. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* 2002, *9*, 828–832. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gayo, E.; Cuteri, V.; Polledo, L.; Rossi, G.; García Marín, J.F.; Preziuso, S. Genetic Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis of Small Ruminant Lentiviruses Detected in Spanish Assaf Sheep with Different Mammary Lesions. *Viruses* 2018, 10, 315. [CrossRef]
- 35. Hötzel, I.; Kumpula-McWhirter, N.; Cheevers, W.P. Rapid evolution of two discrete regions of the caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus envelope surface glycoprotein during persistent infection. *Virus Res.* **2002**, *84*, 17–25. [CrossRef]
- Skraban, R.; Matthíasdóttir, S.; Torsteinsdóttir, S.; Agnarsdóttir, G.; Gudmundsson, B.; Georgsson, G.; Meloen, R.H.; Andrésson, Ó.S.; Staskus, K.A.; Thormar, H.; et al. Naturally occurring mutations within 39 amino acids in the envelope glycoprotein of maedi-visna virus alter the neutralization phenotype. J. Virol. 1999, 73, 8064–8072. [CrossRef]
- González Méndez, A.S.; Cerón Téllez, F.; Tórtora Pérez, J.L.; Martínez Rodríguez, H.A.; García Flores, M.M.; Ramírez Álvarez, H. Signature patterns in region V4 of small ruminant lentivirus surface protein in sheep and goats. *Virus Res.* 2020, 280, 197900. [CrossRef]
- 38. Ramírez, H.; Reina, R.; Amorena, B.; de Andrés, D.; Martínez, H.A. Small ruminant lentiviruses: Genetic variability, tropism and diagnosis. *Viruses* **2013**, *5*, 1175–1207. [CrossRef]
- 39. Maury, W.; Thompson, R.J.; Jones, Q.; Bradley, S.; Denke, T.; Baccam, P.; Smazik, M.; Oaks, J.L. Evolution of the equine infectious anemia virus long terminal repeat during the alteration of cell tropism. *J. Virol.* **2005**, *79*, 5653–5664. [CrossRef]
- Murphy, B.; Hillman, C.; Castillo, D.; Vapniarsky, N.; Rowe, J. The presence or absence of the gamma-activated site determines IFN gamma-mediated transcriptional activation in CAEV promoters cloned from the mammary gland and joint synovium of a single CAEV-infected goat. *Virus Res.* 2012, *163*, 537–545. [CrossRef]
- 41. Angelopoulou, K.; Poutahidis, T.; Brellou, G.D.; Greenland, T.; Vlemmas, I. A deletion in the R region of long terminal repeats in small ruminant lentiviruses is associated with decreased pathology in the lung. *Vet. J.* **2008**, *175*, 346–355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Blatti-Cardinaux, L.; Sanjosé, L.; Zahno, M.L.; Zanoni, R.; Reina, R.; Bertoni, G. Detailed analysis of the promoter activity of an attenuated lentivirus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2016**, *97*, 1699–1708. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Deubelbeiss, M.; Blatti-Cardinaux, L.; Zahno, M.L.; Zanoni, R.; Vogt, H.R.; Posthaus, H.; Bertoni, G. Characterization of small ruminant lentivirus A4 subtype isolates and assessment of their pathogenic potential in naturally infected goats. *Virol. J.* **2014**, *11*, 65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 44. Grego, E.; Reina, R.; Lanfredini, S.; Tursi, M.; Favole, A.; Profiti, M.; Lungu, M.M.; Perona, G.; Gay, L.; Stella, M.C.; et al. Viral load, tissue distribution and histopathological lesions in goats naturally and experimentally infected with the Small Ruminant Lentivirus Genotype E (subtype E1 Roccaverano strain). *Res. Vet. Sci.* 2018, *118*, 107–114. [CrossRef]
- Pisoni, G.; Bertoni, G.; Manarolla, G.; Vogt, H.R.; Scaccabarozzi, L.; Locatelli, C.; Moroni, P. Genetic analysis of small ruminant lentiviruses following lactogenic transmission. *Virology* 2010, 407, 91–99. [CrossRef]
- Mankowski, J.L.; Flaherty, M.T.; Spelman, J.P.; Hauer, D.A.; Didier, P.J.; Amedee, A.M.; Murphey-Corb, M.; Kirstein, L.M.; Muñoz, A.; Clements, J.E.; et al. Pathogenesis of simian immunodeficiency virus encephalitis: Viral determinants of neurovirulence. J. Virol. 1997, 71, 6055–6060. [CrossRef]
- Pérez, M.; Biescas, E.; Reina, R.; Glaria, I.; Marín, B.; Marquina, A.; Salazar, E.; Álvarez, N.; de Andrés, D.; Fantova, E.; et al. Small ruminant lentivirus-induced arthritis: Clinicopathologic findings in sheep infected by a highly replicative SRLV B2 genotype. *Vet. Pathol.* 2015, 52, 132–139. [CrossRef]
- Larruskain, A.; Jugo, B.M. Retroviral infections in sheep and goats: Small ruminant lentiviruses and host interaction. *Viruses* 2013, 5, 2043–2061. [CrossRef]
- 49. Herrmann-Hoesing, L.M.; White, S.N.; Mousel, M.R.; Lewis, G.S.; Knowles, D.P. Ovine progressive pneumonia provirus levels associate with breed and Ovar-DRB1. *Immunogenetics* **2008**, *60*, 749–758. [CrossRef]
- Larruskain, A.; Bernales, I.; Luján, L.; de Andrés, D.; Amorena, B.; Jugo, B.M. Expression analysis of 13 ovine immune response candidate genes in Visna/Maedi disease progression. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2013, 36, 405–413. [CrossRef]
- 51. White, S.N.; Knowles, D.P. Expanding possibilities for intervention against small ruminant lentiviruses through genetic markerassisted selective breeding. *Viruses* **2013**, *5*, 1466–1499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cecchi, F.; Dadousis, C.; Bozzi, R.; Fratini, F.; Russo, C.; Bandecchi, P.; Cantile, C.; Mazzei, M. Genome scan for the possibility of identifying candidate resistance genes for goat lentiviral infections in the Italian Garfagnina goat breed. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 2019, *51*, 729–733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- White, S.N.; Mousel, M.R.; Herrmann-Hoesing, L.M.; Reynolds, J.O.; Leymaster, K.A.; Neibergs, H.L.; Lewis, G.S.; Knowles, D.P. Genome-wide association identifies multiple genomic regions associated with susceptibility to and control of ovine lentivirus. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e47829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Colussi, S.; Desiato, R.; Beltramo, C.; Peletto, S.; Modesto, P.; Maniaci, M.G.; Campia, V.; Quasso, A.; Rosati, S.; Bertolotti, L.; et al. A single nucleotide variant in the promoter region of the CCR5 gene increases susceptibility to arthritis encephalitis virus in goats. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2019, 15, 230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Molaee, V.; Eltanany, M.; Lühken, G. First survey on association of TMEM154 and CCR5 variants with serological maedi-visna status of sheep in German flocks. *Vet. Res.* **2018**, *49*, 36. [CrossRef]
- 56. Molaee, V.; Otarod, V.; Abdollahi, D.; Lühken, G. Lentivirus Susceptibility in Iranian and German Sheep Assessed by Determination of TMEM154 E35K. *Animals* 2019, *9*, 685. [CrossRef]
- Olech, M.; Ropka-Molik, K.; Szmatoła, T.; Piórkowska, K.; Kuźmak, J. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Genes Encoding Toll-Like Receptors 7 and 8 and Their Association with Proviral Load of SRLVs in Goats of Polish Carpathian Breed. *Animals* 2021, 11, 1908. [CrossRef]